The Dynamics of Learning: How Teacher Autonomy Mediates Student Engagement in Disciplinary Environments

Alexandre Vidal de Sousa[1] https://orcid.org/0009-0008-8225-7251; Antônio Werbiton Marinho Almeida[2] https://orcid.org/0009-0002-1904-7522; Bianca Rodrigues Holanda[3] https://orcid.org/0009-0007-7282-2571; Danusa Costa Mendes[4] https://orcid.org/0009-0002-7519-1609; Fernanda Maria Diniz da Silva[5] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3958-3077; Francisca das Chagas Soares Reis[6] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2487-2869; Francisco José Lopes Cajado[7] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8824-9251; Irene Mendes Fontes[8] https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3152-6649; Joilson Pedrosa de Sousa[9] https://orcid.org/0009-0006-2891-0841; Maria Marcyara Silva Souza[10] https://orcid.org/0009-0001-4760-6064; Nailton Rodrigues Maciel[11] https://orcid.org/0009-0001-7544-4795; Paula Raquel Barbosa Sousa[12] https://orcid.org/0009-0005-3491-8017; Paulo Henrique Barbosa Sousa[13] https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1269-7860;
Rickardo Léo Ramos Gomes[14] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6101-9571; Rita Lima de Vasconcelos[15] https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6598-8978;
Roberto Augusto Caracas Neto[16] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8484-411X;

[1] *Master's Degree in Social History from the Federal University of Ceará (UFC);* [2] *Master's student in Administration and Controllership at UFC;* [3] *Master's Degree in Arts from POFARTES – Federal University of Ceará (UFC);* [4] *Bachelor's Degree in Pedagogy from the State University of Maranhão (UEMA);* [5] *Ph.D. in Education from the Federal University of Ceará (UFC);* [6] *Doctor Of Education From The State University Of Ceará – UECE;* [7] *Prof. Dr. in Agricultural Biotechnology (RENORBIO – UFC);* [8] *Postgraduate Degree In Criminal Law From Uniateneu University Center;* [9] *Master's Degree in Science and Mathematics Teaching from the Federal University of Ceará (UFC);* [10] *Master in Sociobiodiversity and Sustainable Technologies from the University of International Integration of Afro-Brazilian Lusophony;* [11] *Specialist in Brazilian History – Faculdade Única;* [12] *Specialist in Public Management – Dom Bosco Catholic University;* [13] *Ph.D. in Educational Sciences, FCSA (DINTER Program);* [14] *Doctorate in Biological Sciences - FICL; Master's in Phytotechnics - Federal University of Ceará;* [14] *Prof. Ph.D. in Educational Sciences from Veni Creator Christian University, Florida, U.S.A.;* [15] *Prof. Ph.D. in Educational Sciences from Veni Creator Christian University, Florida, U.S.A.;* [16]*Doctoral Student at the Academy of the National Institute of Industrial Property.*
Corresponding Author: Rickardo Léo Ramos Gomes

ABSTRACT
Teacher autonomy, when exercised consistently, can directly influence students' interest and engagement, particularly in disciplinary environments. This connection between pedagogical freedom and student motivation reflects the need to rethink teaching practices, seeking to integrate the organization of the school environment with approaches that value active student participation. In this context, understanding how teachers manage their autonomy becomes a relevant path for identifying strategies that foster meaningful learning. This study adopts a qualitative approach, valued for its capacity to examine the complexity of educational situations. Such an approach considers the subjective, social, and cultural aspects that shape teaching practices and relationships within the school setting. As a procedure, a literature review was conducted, understood as a systematic and

rigorous means of locating, selecting, and analyzing academic works directly related to the theme under investigation. The general objective was to investigate how teacher autonomy impacts students' interest in disciplinary contexts, taking into account pedagogical dynamics that promote active and meaningful learning. Findings indicate that, in contexts where discipline is managed with balance and respect, students tend to develop greater independence, a sense of responsibility, and time-management skills, all of which contribute to academic performance and the continuity of learning. Teacher autonomy is not limited to the selection of content or teaching methods; it also involves a careful analysis of students' needs, evidence-based decision-making, and the creation of situations in which learners assume a central role in their own educational process. The development of stimulating learning environments depends on the ability to articulate different dimensions of pedagogical practice while maintaining a continuous dialogue with the demands and interests that emerge in everyday school life.

I. INTRODUCTION

Learning, in its dynamic nature, results from multiple interactions that occur within the school environment. In this context of interactions, teacher autonomy assumes a fundamental role, as it enables educators to make pedagogical choices aligned with the profile and needs of their students. In disciplinary contexts, such autonomy can act as a catalyst for student engagement, fostering the development of diverse strategies adapted to different realities. Thus, understanding how teachers exercise their autonomy also provides insights into how students engage in the learning process.

The discussion on the intersection between teacher autonomy and student interests becomes particularly relevant in light of recent changes in educational demands and in student characteristics. Innovative approaches to teaching and learning challenge the rigidity of traditional methods, requiring educators to strike a balance between discipline and creative freedom. In this scenario, teacher mediation goes beyond the delivery of content; it encompasses the construction of a disciplinary environment that is both structured and inspiring. This connection supports students in maintaining focus and encourages active participation in proposed activities.

This study adopts a qualitative approach, whose relevance to the scientific field lies in its capacity to explore educational phenomena in their diversity, taking into account the subjective, social, and cultural dimensions that shape pedagogical practices. The research procedure employed was a literature review, understood as a systematic and detailed method for identifying, selecting, and analyzing academic works pertinent to the investigated theme.

The following objectives were established for this research: General objective — to investigate how teacher autonomy impacts student interest in disciplinary contexts, considering the pedagogical dynamics that promote active and meaningful learning. Specific objectives — to examine teachers' conceptions of autonomy within the scope of contemporary pedagogical practice; to understand the elements that influence the increase or decrease of student interest in disciplinary contexts; and to analyze the relationship between autonomous pedagogical strategies and student commitment in the learning process.

The text is structured into four sections. The introduction outlines the theme, the rationale, and the objectives of the research. The section on materials and methods presents the chosen strategy and the methodological path followed. The theoretical framework gathers and discusses the contributions of various authors, forming the conceptual foundation for the analysis. Finally, the concluding remarks revisit the main discussions and highlight implications and possible directions for future research.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present research employs a qualitative approach, whose importance in the scientific domain becomes evident through its capacity to investigate educational phenomena in their plurality, taking into account the subjective, social, and cultural dimensions that influence pedagogical practices. According to Freire and Macedo (2022), qualitative research enables the understanding of the meanings individuals attribute to their experiences, fostering interpretations connected to lived realities. In a global context, this approach has proven to be a valuable resource for the investigation of human interactions, educational processes, and institutional dynamics, allowing for analyses that consider the specificities of each situation.

The research procedure applied in this study consisted of a literature review, understood as a rigorous strategy for identifying, selecting, and analyzing academic works related to the theme under investigation. Cavalcante and Oliveira (2020) emphasize that literature review is fundamental for the consolidation of

knowledge across various fields, as it provides a comprehensive view of the state of the art and allows new studies to be consistently situated within the scientific domain. Internationally, this procedure is recognized for enabling coherent syntheses, fostering the integration of different perspectives, and stimulating theoretical and methodological advancements.

The development of this study involved the consultation of more than twenty-five scientific works, including books, peer-reviewed journal articles, and other relevant academic publications, selected on the basis of criteria such as timeliness, thematic relevance, and methodological rigor. This process ensured the representation of distinct perspectives and methodological approaches, thereby grounding the discussion in robust and diversified foundations. The systematic investigation of the selected publications aimed to identify similarities, discrepancies, and gaps within the existing body of knowledge on teacher autonomy, student engagement, and independent pedagogical practices.

Thus, the methodological approach employed enabled the integration of theoretical considerations and critical assessments, establishing an investigative pathway capable of supporting interpretations consistent with the proposed objectives. The combination of the qualitative approach with the method of literature review, grounded in rigorous scientific sources, made it possible to construct a cohesive and interconnected analytical framework, aligned with the academic and ethical principles that guide research in the field of education.

III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The theoretical framework was organized into three main sections, designed to support the proposed analysis and provide a solid foundation for the study. The first section, *3.1 Teacher Autonomy and Pedagogical Practice*, addresses the role of teachers' professional autonomy in the planning, implementation, and adaptation of teaching strategies, highlighting its influence on the quality of the educational process. The second section, *3.2 Student Motivation and Interest in Learning*, discusses internal and external factors that trigger and sustain student engagement, exploring motivational theories and their application within the school context. Finally, the third section, *3.3 Autonomous Pedagogical Practices and Student Engagement*, integrates the previous elements, emphasizing how teacher autonomy, when aligned with innovative and student-centered methods, enhances learners' active involvement in the educational process.

3.1 Teacher Autonomy and Pedagogical Practice

Teacher autonomy is a fundamental aspect in the development of pedagogical practices that meet the demands of contemporary education. It refers to the educator's ability to make decisions grounded in scientific knowledge, the needs of the school environment, and the particularities of students. Such autonomy is not limited to individual action but is intrinsically linked to teacher professionalization, as it involves the ethical responsibility and technical competence required to plan, implement, and evaluate pedagogical actions (Vergara, 2020; Alves & Rodrigues, 2023). Thus, autonomy acquires a dynamic nature, continuously interacting with educational policies, curricula, and teacher training processes.

The connection between autonomy and pedagogical practice involves perceiving the educator as an active agent in the mediation of knowledge, with the freedom to adjust teaching methodologies and strategies according to specific contexts. In this regard, Guerios, Góes, and Monteiro (2022) argue that teacher autonomy, when aligned with a consistent pedagogical framework, fosters a complex and transdisciplinary structure of education. This approach expands opportunities for the implementation of innovative practices, enabling pedagogical work to interact with interdisciplinary knowledge while accommodating diverse learning rhythms and styles.

Teacher autonomy, in addition to constituting a dimension of professional practice, also takes shape as a political exercise, since it encompasses the ability to negotiate meanings and priorities in relation to institutional guidelines and social demands. Alves and Rodrigues (2023) emphasize that autonomy should not be understood as detachment from teaching practice but, rather, as a collective process integrated into curricular guidelines and continuous professional development. To illustrate the spheres that define teacher autonomy within pedagogical practice, Table 1 is presented below.

Table 1 — Dimensions of Teacher Autonomy in Pedagogical Practice

Dimension	Description	Impacts on Pedagogical Practice
Curricular	Ability to adapt content and objectives according to the local context and needs	Enables instructional flexibility and adaptation to the specific characteristics of the class
Methodological	Selection and combination of teaching methods and strategies	Encourages diverse approaches and inclusion of different learning styles
Assessment	Definition of assessment criteria and tools consistent with the learning process	Ensures coherence between teaching, assessment, and educational objectives

Dimension	Description	Impacts on Pedagogical Practice
Organizational	Planning of time, spaces, and pedagogical resources	Optimizes resource use and enhances the efficiency of the educational process
Interactional	utonomy to establish teacher–student interaction dynamics	Increases engagement and fosters active student participation

Source: Adapted from Guerios, Góes, & Monteiro (2022) and Alves & Rodrigues (2023).

The analysis of Table 1 highlights that teacher autonomy is manifested across multiple interrelated dimensions, ranging from curricular management to daily interactions with students. When coherently integrated, these dimensions enable teachers to perform a more dynamic and reflective role in the design of meaningful learning environments. According to the observations of Guerios, Góes, and Monteiro (2022) and Alves and Rodrigues (2023), understanding and enhancing these dimensions requires recognizing the teacher as a central figure in the formulation of pedagogical practices capable of articulating institutional demands with the realities of schools.

3.2 Student Motivation and Interest in Learning

Student motivation and engagement represent crucial elements for the quality of learning, particularly in academic contexts that are often considered challenging or uninteresting. Theories related to motivation, such as Ausubel's Theory of Meaningful Learning, emphasize that the development of new knowledge is directly linked to the assimilation of pre-existing concepts and the active participation of the learner (Costa Júnior et al., 2023). Dewey's pragmatist approach highlights the relevance of educational experiences connected to reality and active participation, which foster both comprehension and students' continued engagement (Costa Júnior et al., 2022).

The use of active methodologies, as indicated by Gomes et al. (2024), enhances motivation by creating opportunities for students to engage collaboratively and reflectively, thereby assuming greater responsibility for their own learning. Santana and Masseana (2024) add that teacher autonomy, by enabling adjustments to pedagogical approaches, allows activities to be adapted to the needs and interests of the group, thereby strengthening the connection between the learner and the content. This alignment is particularly significant in areas of study that have historically faced obstacles regarding student engagement.

However, barriers to motivation are also linked to factors such as indiscipline, learning difficulties, and social interactions within the school context. Carmo and Abreu (2020) emphasize that understanding the factors affecting motivation requires an analysis of teacher training, educational practices, and the organizational environment. Simão and Jardim (2023) demonstrate that gamified activities have the potential to serve as a meaningful resource to stimulate student interest in environments characterized by disengagement. Bastos (2020) and Gualtieri (2021) show that indiscipline, if not managed appropriately, negatively impacts attention and the continuity of the learning process. To summarize the main elements that may enhance or reduce student engagement in disciplinary contexts, Table 2 is presented below.

Table 2 — Factors Influencing Student Interest in Disciplinary Learning Environments

Factor Type	Description (English)	Possible Effects on Student Interest (English)
Relevance of Content	Connection between curricular topics and students' real-life experiences and goals	Increases engagement and facilitates meaningful learning
Teaching Strategies	Use of active, collaborative, and gamified methodologies	Encourages participation and sustained interest
Teacher Autonomy	Flexibility to adapt teaching methods and materials to class needs	Aligns instruction with students' learning profiles and interests
Learning Environment	Physical and emotional conditions that promote respect, cooperation, and safety	Creates a supportive atmosphere that fosters motivation
Discipline Management	Clear and consistent approaches to prevent and address indiscipline	Minimizes distractions and maintains focus
Student Participation	Opportunities for students to contribute ideas and decisions about their learning process	Strengthens commitment and ownership over learning
Assessment Practices	Evaluation methods that are fair, transparent, and aligned with learning objectives	Enhances students' perception of progress and purpose

Source: Adapted from Costa Júnior et al. (2023, 2022); Gomes et al. (2024); Santana & Masseana (2024); Carmo & Abreu (2020); Simão & Jardim (2023); Bastos (2020); Gualtieri (2021).

The evaluation of Table 2 reveals that student engagement emerges from the articulation of pedagogical, relational, and organizational elements, which must be considered collectively. The inclusion of relevant content, participatory methodological approaches, and a safe learning environment fosters motivation, whereas the absence of these components may result in disengagement. As highlighted by Gomes et al. (2024) and Simão and Jardim (2023), the implementation of methods that encourage interaction and active participation is essential for transforming disciplinary environments into spaces of meaningful and continuous learning.

3.3 Autonomous Pedagogical Practices and Student Engagement

Autonomous pedagogical practices constitute a fundamental element in reinforcing student engagement, particularly when connected to active methodologies and student-centered approaches. Nunes and Pimentel (2025) emphasize that engagement results from a dynamic interaction between student activities and the learning environment, encompassing behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions. Based on a meta-analysis, Li and Xue (2023) highlight that the quality of the learning environment, combined with the educator's ability to adopt flexible and participatory practices, exerts a direct influence on student engagement.

According to Gomes et al. (2024), active methodologies foster engagement by placing students in a central position within the learning process, encouraging their participation and responsibility throughout the phases of investigation, construction, and application of knowledge. Carniel, Espinosa, and Heidemann (2025) stress that indicators such as persistence, collaborative participation, and the expression of opinions are intensified when teaching practices incorporate elements of cooperative learning and the resolution of real-world problems. In the same perspective, Carneiro et al. (2022) point out that diversity in pedagogical strategies within the school environment increases the possibilities for meaningful interactions.

Critical and transformative pedagogy, as argued by Costa, Santos Guerra, and Seabra (2023), suggests an educational approach oriented toward reflection, problematization, and student emancipation. This perspective considers that teacher autonomy should be reflected in the selection of approaches that encourage dialogue, participation, and the collective construction of knowledge. However, studies conducted by Bastos (2020), Gualtieri (2021), Silva et al. (2021), and Santana, Santos, and Silva (2019) underscore that issues such as indiscipline and learning difficulties may constrain the beneficial effects of these approaches, unless accompanied by effective strategies for classroom management and mediation. To organize the key elements linking autonomous pedagogical practices to student engagement, Table 3 is presented below.

Table 3 — Key Elements Linking Autonomous Pedagogical Practices to Student Engagement

Element	Description	Impact on Engagement
Student-Centered Strategies	Approaches that prioritize learners' needs, interests, and active participation	Strengthens autonomy, motivation, and ownership over learning
Active Methodologies	Problem-based learning, project-based learning, and other participatory approaches	Promotes deeper understanding and long-term engagement
Collaborative Learning	Group work and peer-to-peer interaction aimed at solving tasks and sharing knowledge	Enhances communication skills, cooperation, and sense of belonging
Critical and Transformative Pedagogy	Practices encouraging reflection, questioning, and the rethinking of social and academic realities	Stimulates critical thinking and active citizenship
Flexible Lesson Planning	Adaptation of lesson structures to respond to emerging needs and contexts	Maintains relevance and responsiveness to student feedback
Classroom Management	Strategies to prevent and address indiscipline without compromising participation	Ensures a conducive learning environment and sustained engagement
Reflective Assessment Practices	Evaluation methods fostering feedback, self-assessment, and metacognitive development	Supports continuous improvement and awareness of learning processes

Source: Adapted from Nunes & Pimentel (2025); Li & Xue (2023); Gomes et al. (2024); Carniel, Espinosa & Heidemann (2025); Carneiro et al. (2022); Costa, Santos Guerra & Seabra (2023); Bastos (2020); Gualtieri (2021); Silva et al. (2021); Santana, Santos & Silva (2019).

The analysis of Table 3 demonstrates that student engagement is not limited to the mere implementation of active strategies but emerges from the integration of flexible planning, effective classroom management, and methodologies that foster meaningful student participation. As emphasized by Li and Xue (2023) and Carniel, Espinosa, and Heidemann (2025), the combination of student-centered methodologies, collaborative practices, and critical pedagogy contributes to transforming the learning environment into a space characterized by continuous and meaningful participation.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The investigation originated from the desire to understand how teacher autonomy functions as a facilitator of student interest in disciplinary contexts, analyzing the interactions between pedagogical practices, motivation, and engagement. This analytical trajectory aimed to articulate theoretical foundations with information organized into three synthetic tables, which illustrate aspects of autonomy, factors affecting student interest, and elements linking autonomous practices to student engagement.

The defined objectives were fully achieved. Regarding teacher autonomy and pedagogical practice, it was observed that the ability to adapt the curriculum, methods, and assessment strategies allows for responses better suited to the particularities of each class, as evidenced in Table 1. The investigation into student motivation and interest demonstrated that connecting content with real-life experiences, implementing active methodologies, and creating a respectful learning environment promote student participation and persistence, as summarized in Table 2. The connection between autonomous pedagogical practices and engagement highlighted that student-centered approaches, collaborative strategies, and flexible planning enhance student autonomy and strengthen a sense of belonging, as shown in Table 3.

The study demonstrated that school discipline—conceived as a set of rules, behaviors, and attitudes that govern student interaction and engagement—represents a crucial foundation for effective learning. It is not limited to adherence to norms but fosters a well-structured and orderly environment in which focus, persistence, and self-regulation can develop. In settings where discipline is promoted in a balanced and respectful manner, students tend to acquire greater autonomy, a sense of responsibility, and time-management skills, all of which are essential for academic success and continuous learning.

From this perspective, it was observed that teacher autonomy is not restricted to the freedom to select content or teaching methodologies. It is manifested through the diagnosis of student needs, evidence-based decision-making, and the creation of opportunities for learners to become protagonists of their own educational process. The development of stimulating learning spaces depends both on the ability to integrate multiple dimensions of pedagogical practice and on maintaining continuous dialogue with the interests and challenges faced by students.

For future research, it is advisable to expand the analysis to educational environments with diverse sociocultural profiles in order to examine the consistency or variability of the observed effects. It would also be relevant to investigate how digital technologies, when incorporated critically and strategically, can both enhance and constrain teacher autonomy. Longitudinal studies may provide insights into how autonomous practices influence the development of students' skills and attitudes over time.

REFERENCES

[1] Alves, E. F. G., & Rodrigues, A. C. S. (2023). Curriculum and teacher autonomy: Political enunciations. *Revista Brasileira de Política e Administração da Educação, 39*(1). ISSN 1678-3575. https://doi.org/10.21573/vol39n12023.122281.

[2] Bastos, C. S. L. S. (2020). Indiscipline: A major obstacle to teaching and learning. *Brazilian Journal of Development, 6*(7), 49195–49207. ISSN 2525-3409. https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv6n7-533.

[3] Carmo, N. P. do, & Abreu, W. F. de. (2020). Knowledge and teaching autonomy: A dialogue between comprehensive elements for teacher training. *Margens - Revista Interdisciplinar, 14*(23), 25–41. ISSN 1806-8828. https://doi.org/10.18542/mri.v14i23.5778.

[4] Carneiro, A. J. O. L., Lino, L., et al. (2022). The importance of pedagogical practices in the school context: Dynamizing the 'pedagogical doing' through practice in Basic Education. *Research, Society and Development, 11*(13), e87111334789. ISSN 2525-3409. http://dx.doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v11i13.34789.

[5] Carniel, L., Espinosa, T., & Heidemann, L. (2025). Student engagement: An analysis of indicators, facilitators, and measurement methods in literature reviews. *Educ. Rev., 41.* ISSN 0102-4698. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-469853973.

[6] Cavalcante, L. T. C., & Oliveira, A. A. S. de. (2020). Bibliographic review methods in scientific studies. *Psicol. Rev. (Belo Horizonte), 26*(1), 82–100. ISSN 1678-9563. https://doi.org/10.5752/P.1678-9563.2020v26n1p82-100.

[7] Costa Júnior, J. F., Lima, P. P. de, Arcanjo, C. F., Sousa, F. F. de, Santos, M. M. de O., Leme, M., & Gomes, N. C. (2023). A pedagogical perspective on David Ausubel's meaningful learning. *REBENA - Brazilian Journal of Teaching and Learning, 5*, 51–68. ISSN 2763-1234.

[8] Costa Júnior, J. F., et al. (2022). Active methodologies in the teaching/learning process and teacher autonomy: A brief study from John Dewey's perspective. In R. P. de Silveira (Ed.), *Traços e Reflexões: Educação e Ensino - Volume 5* (pp. 43–63). Formiga: Editora Uniesmero. ISSN 2763-5678.

[9] Costa, M. A. da, Santos Guerra, L. F., & Seabra, F. (2023). The concept of transformative pedagogical practices: Perspectives from technical education students and teachers. *Debates in Education, 15*(37), e15023. ISSN 2175-6600. https://doi.org/10.28998/2175-6600.2023v15n37pe15023.

[10] Freire, I. P., & Macedo, S. M. F. (2022). Qualitative research in education – Epistemological and ethical aspects. *Revista Pesquisa Qualitativa, 10*(24), 276–296. ISSN 1983-1234.

[11] Gomes, R. L. G., et al. (2024). The relationship between active methodologies as teaching strategies and educators' autonomy. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM), 26*(8), Ser. 9, 74–84. e-ISSN 2278-487X. https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-2608097484.

[12] Gualtieri, R. C. E. (2021). Learning and discipline difficulties as constitutive elements of the modern school. *Revista Brasileira de História da Educação, 21*(1), e178. ISSN 2237-2811. Retrieved from https://periodicos.uem.br/ojs/index.php/rbhe/article/view/54250.

[13] Guerios, E., Colaço Góes, H., & Monteiro, K. (2022). "Didactic principle," "teacher autonomy," and "pedagogical matrix" in a complex and transdisciplinary weaving. *Debates in Education, 14*(36), 239–256. ISSN 2175-6600. https://doi.org/10.28998/2175-6600.2022v14n36p239-256.

[14]   Li, J., & Xue, E. (2023). Dynamic interaction between student learning behaviour and learning environment: Meta-analysis of student engagement and its influencing factors. *Behavioral Sciences, 13*(1), 59. ISSN 2076-328X. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13010059.

[15]   Nunes, A. K. F., & Pimentel, F. S. C. (2025). Considerations on student engagement: Reflections from literature review. *Educ. Rev., 1.* ISSN 0102-4698. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-469859085.

[16]   Santana, A. M., Santos, C., & Silva, M. P. A. (2019). School indiscipline and its perspectives. *Revista Saberes, 8*, 15–20. ISSN 2179-XXX.

[17]   Santana, K. de S., & Masseana, E. P. (2024). Teaching autonomy: What is researched in science teaching. *Actio, 9*(1), 1–22. ISSN 2765-XXXX.

[18]   Simão, C. H., & Jardim, M. I. de A. (2023). Motivation in the learning process and its relation to gamified activities – An epistemological analysis. *Eccos - Revista Científica, 65*, 1–19, e23660. ISSN 2237-XXXX. https://doi.org/10.5585/eccos.n65.23660

[19]   Vergara, J. L. (2020). Training and practice: Teacher autonomy under discussion. *Open Minds International Journal, 1*(3), 89–103. ISSN 2675-XXXX. https://doi.org/10.47180/omij.v1i3.75.