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Abstract: This paper proposes a novel method to detect moving objects in a continuous video sequence. A simple linear operator based classifier, is implemented to separate target objects from their background. It also estimates stochastic parameters for each pixel of the image. The output data exhibits a frame categorization sanctioning a simple and efficient pixel-level transition identification framework. The degree of similarity between adjacent pixels and their relationship, neighbourhood can be utilized for precise detection. This paper explores the aforesaid inference to establish a spatiotemporal systematic alignment of the leaf level solution. This method accomplishes sturdiness and exactitude, with memory utilization and a highly efficient computational structure.

I. INTRODUCTION

Motion detection is a key element in gamut of computer vision and video processing tasks such as image registration, object recognition, standards conversion, frame-rate-up conversion, noise reduction, image stabilization, mosaicking and artefact concealment in archived film sequences [1]. The existence of repetitive pixel information consumes large chunk of memory space. Downsizing repetitiveness in spatial domain is a precarious component in scheming video compressions systems. The manoeuvre aims at estimating two dimensional motions by localizing origination of velocity vector in three dimensional spaces. The vulnerability of this method is aggravated by intensity conservation and spatial coherence. The amount of influence exerted by the two factors makes the scheme as docile. Preserving Intensity information in spatial domain actualizes intensity conservation. The intensity conservation fails at boundary regions, presence of shadows or specular reflections, areas of occlusion, presence of noise and transparency effects. Spatial coherence describes the amount of similarity exists between adjacent pixels that enhances the presence of same object and motion models. For multiple, concurrently moving objects and random, complex video sequences the spatial coherence is distorted. In acquiring video sequences by stationary camera a method referred as background subtraction can be employed for motion detection [2-6]. Motion detection comprises of two steps, the First step is eliminating moving objects and constructing static frames yields image background and the second step is, in each frame the video sequence is compared with the background to segregate uncommon regions of motion referred as foreground [7].

In general tracking algorithms can be categorized into two based on their representation schemes, Generative and Discriminative models [8]. Searching specific image regions with minimal reconstruction errors is the objective of Generative algorithms. Their functionality is based on learning an appearance model. To deal with appearance variation, adaptive models such as the WSL tracker [9] and IVT method [10] have been proposed. To manage form changes and partial occlusion, fragmentation based appearance model can be used for motion detection [11]. Sparse representation methods can also be used to symbolize the object by a set of target and trivial templates to handle partial occlusion, illumination change and pose variation [12]. The inability to account surrounding visual context, thrust aside expedient information reduces the capacity of generative models to separate target object from the background [8].

Discriminative models employ classifier to track object movements. The detection problem is addressed by a classifier as separating the target object from its surrounding background within a local region [13]. By utilizing on-center off-surround principle object tracking can be attained by boosting method [13]. This method suggests classifier with pixel-based features. A feature selection based boosting method was also proposed [14]. The demerit of the discriminative algorithms lies in updating classifier. Scheme updating considers only one positive sample and multiple negative samples [8]. On false detection the information associated with chosen positive sample gets distorted and introduces suboptimal classifier update. This results in drift in tracking or failure [15]. A labelling scheme is suggested [16] to label the samples in the first frame and discarding the other frame samples as unlabeled. This scheme trains the classifier to alleviate the drifting problem.
The recursive computational methods yields statistical measures such as first order value and second order value for Gaussian distribution [17]. Predictive filter based estimation schemes computes progressive derivative values to identify background values at different instances. The limitations of recursive schemes are,

i. Sluggish adaptability to cope with instantaneous changes in background states

ii. Ineffectiveness to set background state weights.

The constraining factors for opting linear computational schemes are complex numerical operations and memory. An effective trade-off between constraining factors and robust estimation can be realized by explicit adoption of multi modal distribution framework. The disadvantages associated with linear schemes were addressed by non-linear schemes. These schemes calculate background values and fine tunes it towards optimal value by iterative approximations. They also exhibit cost effective computational methods over linear schemes.

The paper proposes following techniques to exterminate the imminent limitations identified in the aforementioned methods.

i. Mathematical description of the problem

ii. Background estimations model

iii. Motion estimation model

II. METHODOLOGY

This paper proposes background estimation to identify motion detection in a frame. The description of the scheme contents are as follows.

A. Problem description

The objective is to track down areas of projection $P_1, P_2, P_3, \ldots, P_M$ for $M$ moving inelastic objects in a frame. The quadratic transformation is used to model optic flow at spatial coordinates $x = (x, y)$ for planar surface moving objects [18] as

\[ v_x = b_1 + b_2 x + b_3 y + b_7 xy + b_8 x^2 \quad \text{------------------ (1)} \]

and

\[ v_y = b_4 + b_5 x + b_6 y + b_7 x^2 + b_8 xy \quad \text{------------------ (2)} \]

Where \( \theta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s) \) are the movement parameters of the objects. A parameter based model adopts two forms direct form and amalgamated form. The amalgamated form results from intensity equation combination with quadratic form. The generalised form can be described as

\[ y(x) = f(x: \theta_M) + \epsilon_x \quad \text{for all } x \in P_M \quad \text{------------------ (3)} \]

In (3) ‘y’ corresponds to the vector \((v_x, v_y)\), 'f' is known function, \(\theta_M\) is the vector of motion parameters of apriori object \(P_M\).

B. Background Estimation scheme module No. 1

This paper proposes a scheme to measure background state estimate as follows. The input sequence is denoted as $I_t$ and the estimated background value is denoted as $M_t$.

1. For every pixel $x$, the background estimate value is assumed to be the original pixel value.

2. For the entire frame $t$, the following steps are iterated for every pixel $x$.
   i. If the previous background estimate value of a pixel $x$ is greater than input pixel value of a frame $t$, than present background estimate value is incremented by one.
   ii. Upon not complying the conditional criterion stated in (i) the present background estimate value is decremented by one.

The magnitude of discontinuity in the input sequence restricts the precision of the proposed scheme. The rate of change incurred by the input sequence determines the effective estimate calculation.

C. Background Estimation scheme module No. 2

1. The absolute difference between input sequence value and background value is calculated.

2. The transition from static position to dynamic position is tracked by computing average squared deviation value.

3. The amount of movement magnitude is assumed as the non-zero numerical value computed from step (i).

4. For the entire frame $t$, the following steps are iterated for every pixel $x$.  

---
i. If the previous transition value is less than total absolute value for the frame than previous transition value is incremented by one and assigned to present transition value.

ii. If the previous transition value is greater than total absolute value for the frame than previous transition value is incremented by one and assigned to present transition value.

5. If the numerical value computed from step (iv) is less than step (ii) than the movement is branded as null otherwise it is branded as valid.

D. Motion Estimation Model

The proposed motion estimation model is narrated as follows.
1. The details of the image are obtained by using a low pass filter. The low pass filter retains details of the image and discards the edges.

2. The scheme assumes that a future state of the process depends only upon the state. The state of the process is partially observable.

3. The motion estimation of a binary background motion is calculated for given observation field.

4. The framework is maximized by assuming that the presence or absence of a particular feature is unrelated to the presence of or absence of any other feature.

5. For a given observation field the motion label is assigned and the conditional probability is maximized.

6. This initial estimate does not need to be precise. The robustness of the implementation procedure is essential for localizing the starting point gradient descent algorithm.

III. IMPLEMENTATION

The proposed is used to distinguish objects in a frame obtained from video sequence. The foreground detection is developed using Gaussian Mixture Model (GMMs). The detection acts as the primary step to erudite tasks such as classification of objects.

A. CONVERTING VIDEO SEQUENCE INTO FRAMES

The following method is employed to convert the given video sequence into frames.

1. A temporary working folder is created to store image sequences.

2. A VideoReader object is created to read frames from the frame sequence.

3. The video sequence is subjected to looping.

4. Each frame is encapsulated into a width-by-height-by-3 array named img.

5. Each image is indexed as a JPEG file with a name in the form imgN.jpg, where N is the frame number.

6. The JPEG file names are found in the images folder.

7. The frame numbers are extracted from the file names. They are used to sort the images.

8. The frame numbers are sorted from lowest to highest.

B. FOREGROUND DETECTION

The following steps are executed to segregate foreground from the frame.

1. Foreground Detector Initialization

The entire video sequence is segregated into a specific number of frames. From each frame the moving objects are segmented from the background. The foreground detector requires a certain number of video frames in order to initialize the Gaussian mixture model. This scheme uses the first 50 frames to initialize three Gaussian modes in the mixture model. The Pseudo code for foreground detector initialization is,

```
Assign foregrounddetector = vision_foreground_detector [No_of_Gaussian_modes, No_of_training_frames];
Create videoReader object;
Read Videofile;
For i= 1 to 50
    Assign frame=Video_Reader_Step;
    Compute Foreground;
end
```

2. Displaying frames

A consistent segmentation results are displayed as a successive step to foreground detector initialization.

Display frame;
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3. **Motion Detection**

   During foreground segmentation process, a detrimental noise component is developed. The method employs morphological opening to eradicate the noise and to fill gaps in the detected objects. It aspires three important objectives
   i. Prediction of object's location prediction
   ii. Enabling the procedure of association of multiple objects to their tracks
   iii. Decreasing noise incorporated by false detections

IV. **RESULTS**

   The proposed background method is applied on a frame obtained from a video sequence is shown in Fig. 1. It extracts background for a specific frame and discards identified objects as shown in Fig. 2. Object localization technique identifies objects with blurred details as shown in Fig. 3. The resultants images resulting from threshold operation and labelling operation are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6.

| Fig. 1: Background to be extracted in the presence of objects | Fig. 2: Extracted background |
| Fig. 3: Pronounced object localization | Fig. 4: Resultant image post localization fine tuning |
| Fig. 5: Resultant image post thresholding operation | Fig. 6: Object Labelling |

V. **CONCLUSION**
The proposed algorithm extracts the background from any specified frame of video sequence and detects the foreground meritoriously. This algorithm dynamically updates the background frame by frame. This algorithm also identifies the quality degrading intrusive components from moving object accurately. This scheme exhibits adaptiveness in detecting various sizes of the object present in a frame by adjusting the threshold values. It also follows up with swift changing edge values. The proposed algorithm shall be gauged by a measure referred as Log-likelihood statistic ratio. The numerical interpretations of the results can be utilized as the basis for futuristic motion detection algorithm development.
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