

Optimization of CASP-CUSUM Schemes Based on Truncated Rayleigh Distribution

Narayana Murthy B. R¹, Akhtar P. Md², Venkata Ramudu B³

¹Lecturer in Statistics, S.V. Degree and P.G. College, Anantapur (A.P.)Pin code 515 001

²Professor, Department of Statistics, S.K. University, Anantapur(A.P.)Pin code 515055

³Asst. Professor of Statistics, S.S.B.N. Degree College, Anantapur(A.P.)Pincode 515 001

Abstract:- Among several techniques to control the quality, Acceptance Sampling Plans are introduced mainly to accept or reject the lots of finished products. Such types of techniques are involved in the product which is destructive where 100% inspection is not possible such as bullets, batteries, bulbs and so on. In this paper we consider CASP-CUSUM Schemes based on the assumption that the continuous variable under consideration follows a truncated Rayleigh Distribution. The Rayleigh Distribution plays a vital role in Statistical Quality Control, particularly in estimating reliability by considering its distribution. Optimum CASP-CUSUM Schemes are suggested based on numerical results obtained.

Keywords:- CASP-CUSUM Schemes, type-C OC Curve, ARL, Truncated Rayleigh Distribution.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this cut- throat competition various factors which will affect the quality and reliability of a product such as rapid evolution of new materials, components, processes, complexity of the product being manufactured, need to meet customers' demands such as availability, safety, warranty and require the full co-operation of all employees of an Organization manufacturing the product. In the past, the term 'quality' is defined in different dimensions, especially with regard to consumer point of view, system designer's point of view and so on. Durability, Safety, low-cost and the degree of satisfaction are the main characteristics which determine the quality of a product in Consumer's point of view. But in system designer's point of view the degree of profit and the degree of low cost productions are the major characteristics that determine the quality.

One of the most widely used qualities of control tools is the Acceptance Sampling Plans. Acceptance Sampling Plans determines the 'Sample Size' and Criteria for accepting or rejecting a product or batch based on the quality of a sample, using Statistical principles. Different types of techniques are employed by industries mainly in the manufacturing of Bullets, Crackers, Bulbs, and Batteries and so on, where 100% inspection is not possible. Sampling provides one rational means of verification that a production lot conforms to the requirements of technical specifications. 100% inspection does not guarantee 100% compliance and is too time consuming and costly. Rather than evaluating all items or products, a specified sample is taken, inspected or tested, and a decision is made about accepting or rejecting the entire production lot.

Obviously, if the reliability of the product increases then the quality of the product will also increases, because in producer's point of view, the probability that an item or a machine or a product will survive for a longer period of time. It states that a better quality of a product. It can be evidently observed that the quality of the product depends on the functioning of the system. The quality of the product is usually defer from time to time and also the quality defers from product to product in the same manufacturing process. For example in case of electric bulbs the durability of an electric bulb will changes from bulb to bulb. Thus quality is the random phenomena.

Hawkins, D.M. [3] proposed a fast accurate approximation for ARL's of a CUSUM Control Charts. This approximation can be used to evaluate the ARL's for specific parameter values and the out of control ARL's of location and scale CUSUM Charts. Lonnie. C. Vance, [6] considered Average Run Length of cumulative Sum Control Charts for controlling for normal means and to determine the parameters of a CUSUM Chart. To determine the parameter of CUSUM Chart the acceptable and rejectable quality levels along with the desired respective ARL's are considered.

Vardeman.S, Di-ou Ray [9] was introduced CUSUM control charts under the restriction that the values regard to quality are exponentially distributed. Further the phenomena under study is the occurrence of rate of rare events and the inter arrival times for a homogenous poison process are identically independently distributed exponential random variables.

Kakoty.S. Chakraborty A.B. [5] proposed CASP – CUSUM charts under the assumption that the variable under study follows a Truncated Normal distribution. Generally truncated distributions are employed in many practical phenomena where there is a constraint on the lower and upper limits of the variable under study. For example, in the production engineering items, the sorting procedure eliminates items above or below designated tolerance limits. It is worthwhile to note that any continuous variable be first approximated as an exponential variable.

YI Dai, Yunzhao Luo, Zhonghua, Li and Zhaojun Wang [10] recommended a more generalized multivariate CUSUM (MCUSUM) control charts which are usually called adaptive MCUSUM Control Charts which not only operate without any pre-knowledge about the process shift, but also achieve an overall approximately optimal performance at each point in a broader range of mean shifts. Finally it is concluded by addressing some relevant issues such as super imposing Shewart Control Limit on their AMCUSUM Chart will perform more efficiently for large mean shifts just like combine Shewart CUSUM Charts.

Muhammad Riaz, Nasir Abbas and Ronald J.M.M Does [7] proposed two Runs rules schemes for the CUSUM Charts. The performance of the CUSUM and EWMA Charts are compared with the usual CUSUM and weighted CUSUM, the first initial response CUSUM compared with usual EWMA Schemes. This comparison stated that the proposed schemes perform better for small and moderate shifts.

Akthar P.Md and Sarma K.L.A.P [1] proposed an optimization of CASP-CUSUM Schemes based on truncated two parametric Gamma distribution and evaluated $L(O)$ $L'(O)$ and Probability of Acceptance and also optimized CASP-CUSUM Schemes based on numerical results.

In the present paper it is proposed CASP-CUSUM Charts when the variable under study follows truncated Rayleigh Distribution. Thus it is more worthwhile to study some interesting characteristics of this distribution.

II. RAYLEIGH DISTRIBUTION

Definition: A continuous random variable X assuming non-negative values is said to have Rayleigh Distribution with parameter $\sigma > 0$ its probability density function is given by:

$$f(x, \sigma) = \frac{x}{\sigma^2} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2}, \quad X \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

III. TRUNCATED RAYLEIGH DISTRIBUTION

Definition: The random variable X is said to follow a truncated Rayleigh Distribution as:

$$, X \geq 0, \sigma > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Where 'B' is the truncation point of the Rayleigh Distribution.

The Rayleigh distribution is very flexible for modeling of life time of any product, and used for the life modeling in reliability analysis, life testing problems and accepting sampling plans. This distribution has been experienced in many applications such as communication, engineering and life testing. Rayleigh distribution is very flexible for modeling failure rate by choosing an appropriate value of the parameter.

Rayleigh distribution is a member of continuous probability distributions and it was introduced by Lord Rayleigh. It is often used in wind speed, energy, physics, communication and lifetime analysis. For example, it is used to model scattered signals that reach a receiver by multiple paths. Rayleigh derived it from the amplitude of sound resulting from many independent sources. This distribution is also connected with one or two dimensions and is sometimes referred to as "random walk" frequency distribution. The Rayleigh distribution is also used as a model for wind speed. The model describes the distribution of wind speed over the period of a year. This type of analysis is used for estimating the energy recovery from a wind turbine.

IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAN AND TYPE-C OC CURVE

Beattie[2] have suggested the method for constructing the continuous acceptance sampling plan. The procedure, suggested by him consists of a chosen decision interval namely, "Return interval" with the length h' , above the decision line is taken. We plot on the chart the sum $S_m = \sum (X_i - k) X_i'$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) are distributed independently and k is the reference value. If the sum lies in the area of normal chart, the product is accepted and if it lies in the of the return chart, the product is rejected, subject to the following assumptions.

1. When the recently plotted point on the chart touches the decision line, then the next point to be plotted at the maximum, i.e., $h+h'$.

2. When the decision line is reached or crossed from above, the next point on the chart is to be plotted from the baseline.
When the CUSUM falls in the return chart, network or a change of specification may be employed rather than outright rejection.

The procedure in brief is given below.

1. Start plotting the CUSUM at 0.
2. The product is accepted when $S_m = \sum (X_i - k) < h$; when $S_m < 0$, return cumulative to 0.
3. When $h < S_m < h + h'$ the product is rejected: when S_m crosses h , i.e., when $S_m > h + h'$ and continue rejecting product until $S_m > h + h'$ return cumulative to $h + h'$.

The Type – C, OC function, which is defined as the probability of acceptance of an item as a function of incoming quality, when sampling rate is same in acceptance and rejection regions. Then the probability of acceptance $P(A)$ is given by

$$P(A) = \frac{L(0)}{L(0) + L'(0)} \tag{4.1}$$

Where $L(0)$ = Average Run Length in acceptance zone and
 $L'(0)$ = Average Run Length in rejection zone.

Page, E.S [8] has introduced the formulae for $L(0)$ and $L'(0)$ as

$$L(0) = \frac{N(0)}{1 - P(0)} \tag{4.2}$$

(4.3)

Where $P(0)$ = Probability for the test starting from zero on the normal chart,
 $N(0)$ = ASN for the test starting from zero on the normal chart,

$P'(0)$ = Probability for the test on the return chart and
 $N'(0)$ = ASN for the test on the return chart.

He further obtained integral equations for the quantities
 $P(0)$, $N(0)$, $P'(0)$, $N'(0)$ as follows :

$$P(z) = F(k - z) + \int_0^h p(y) f(y + k - z) dy, \tag{4.4}$$

$$N(z) = 1 + \int_0^h N(y) f(y + k - z) dy, \tag{4.5}$$

$$P'(z) = \int_{k+z}^B f(y) dy + \int_0^h P'(y) f(-y + k + z) dy \tag{4.6}$$

$$N'(z) = 1 + \int_0^h N'(y) f(-y + k + z) dy, \tag{4.7}$$

$$[1 - Ta_n R(t_n, t_n)] F_n = Q_n + T[a_o R(t_n, t_o) F_o + a_1 R(t_n, t_1) F_1 + \dots + a_n R(t_n, t_n) F_n] \quad (5.6)$$

Where $T = \frac{d - c}{2}$.

To start the Iteration process, let us put $F_1 = F_2 = \dots = F_n = 0$ in the first equation of (5.6), we then obtain a rough value of F_0 . Putting this value of F_0 and $F_2 = F_3 \dots = F_n = 0$ in the second equation, we get a rough value F_1 and so on. This gives the first set of values F_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ which are just the refined values of F_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. The process is continued until two consecutive sets of values are obtained up to a certain degree of accuracy. In the similar way solutions $P'(0), N(0), N'(0)$ can be obtained.

VI. COMPUTATION OF ARL's & P (A)

We developed computer programs to solve equations (5.1 to 5.6) and get the following tables.

TABLE - 6.1 k = 2 h = 0.25 h' = 0.25 σ = 2				TABLE - 6.2 k = 2 h = 0.5 h' = 0.5 σ = 2			
B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)	B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)
2.9	2.2909	1.1593411	0.6639840	2.9	1.8604	1.6840967	0.5248804
2.8	2.4353	1.1670598	0.6760333	2.8	1.9575	1.7332468	0.5303918
2.7	2.6231	1.1758841	0.6904804	2.7	2.0834	1.7922349	0.5375724
2.6	2.8761	1.1860235	0.7080293	2.6	2.2522	1.8640026	0.5471563
2.5	3.2331	1.1977420	0.7296846	2.5	2.4888	1.9527799	0.5603432
2.4	3.7722	1.2113779	0.7569303	2.4	2.8424	2.0648718	0.5792263
2.3	4.6749	1.2273706	0.7920516	2.3	3.4247	2.2100887	0.6077835
2.2	6.4830	1.2463020	0.8387572	2.2	4.5559	2.4045315	0.6545454
2.1	11.8785	1.2689574	0.9034860	2.1	7.6777	2.6764667	0.7415103
2.0	848.2222	1.2964239	0.9984739	2.0	55.0985	3.0801172	0.9470577
TABLE- 6.3 k = 2 h = 0.75 h' = 0.75 σ = 2				TABLE - 6.4 k = 2 h = 1 h' = 1 σ = 2			
B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)	B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)
2.9	2.0699	1.3753850	0.6008024	2.9	1.6549	2.1597240	0.4338323
2.8	2.1903	1.3971695	0.6105439	2.8	1.7281	2.2679436	0.4324572
2.7	2.3466	1.4225801	0.6225846	2.7	1.8223	2.4034824	0.4312421
2.6	2.5570	1.4524670	0.6377412	2.6	1.9474	2.5771787	0.4304144
2.5	2.8533	1.4879650	0.6572552	2.5	2.1207	2.8063035	0.4304234
2.4	3.2994	1.5306228	0.6831073	2.4	2.3750	3.1200180	0.4322142
2.3	4.0425	1.5826097	0.7186557	2.3	2.7830	3.5713189	0.4379759
2.2	5.5162	1.6470594	0.7700703	2.2	3.5419	4.2665491	0.4536048
2.1	9.7975	1.7286710	0.8500227	2.1	5.4481	5.4517436	0.4998370
2.0	168.6135	1.8348162	0.9892353	2.0	19.5484	7.8422041	0.7136908
TABLE - 6.5 k = 4 h = 0.25 h' = 0.25 σ = 2				TABLE - 6.6 k = 4 h = 0.5 h' = 0.5 σ = 2			
B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)	B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)
4.9	10.3636	1.1038153	0.9037440	4.9	9.6620	1.2297903	0.8870910
4.8	11.1209	1.1045930	0.9096487	4.8	10.3609	1.2317052	0.8937511
4.7	12.1133	1.1054655	0.9163716	4.7	11.2763	1.2338574	0.9013715
4.6	13.4581	1.1064432	0.9240320	4.6	12.5158	1.2362739	0.9101033
4.5	15.3664	1.1075375	0.9327706	4.5	14.2731	1.2389852	0.9201279
4.4	18.2598	1.1087615	0.9427547	4.4	16.9336	1.2420251	0.9316654
4.3	23.1208	1.1101295	0.9541855	4.3	21.3927	1.2454325	0.9449853

4.2	32.8908	1.1116571	0.9673066	4.2	30.3156	1.2492507	0.9604228
4.1	62.2079	1.1133631	0.9824172	4.1	56.7775	1.2535293	0.9783990
4.0	9981.9218	1.1152676	0.9998883	4.0	2292.0202	1.2583251	0.9994513
TABLE - 6.7 k = 4 h = 0.75 h' = 0.75 $\sigma = 2$				TABLE - 6.8 k = 4 h = 1 h' = 1 $\sigma = 2$			
B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)	B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)
4.9	8.9857	1.3857592	0.8663873	4.9	8.3254	1.5837921	0.8401695
4.8	9.6272	1.3893739	0.8738835	4.8	8.9099	1.5900289	0.8485688
4.7	10.4667	1.3934455	0.8825105	4.7	9.6739	1.5970738	0.8583029
4.6	11.6022	1.3980286	0.8924615	4.6	10.7055	1.6050289	0.8696216
4.5	13.2092	1.4031852	0.9039730	4.5	12.1618	1.6140108	0.8828375
4.4	15.6361	1.4089855	0.9173381	4.4	14.3533	1.6241547	0.8983474
4.3	19.6878	1.4155097	0.9329248	4.3	17.9910	1.6356158	0.9166635
4.2	27.7349	1.4228497	0.9512017	4.2	25.1392	1.6485760	0.9384580
4.1	51.1365	1.4311119	0.9727758	4.1	45.3648	1.6632482	0.9646329
4.0	926.2937	1.4404193	0.9984474	4.0	468.3694	1.6798840	0.9964262
TABLE - 6.9 k = 6 h = 0.25 h' = 0.25 $\sigma = 2$				TABLE - 6.10 k = 6 h = 0.5 h' = 0.5 $\sigma = 2$			
B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)	B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)
6.9	109.1370	1.0984359	0.9900355	6.9	100.1786	1.2166343	0.9880010
6.8	115.6419	1.0984885	0.9905903	6.8	106.0102	1.2167623	0.9886525
6.7	124.3049	1.0985500	0.9912399	6.7	113.7541	1.2169120	0.9894155
6.6	136.2053	1.0986218	0.9919986	6.6	124.3489	1.2170866	0.9903072
6.5	153.2774	1.0987053	0.9928829	6.5	139.4624	1.2172897	0.9913471
6.4	179.3678	1.0988023	0.9939113	6.4	162.3646	1.2175255	0.9925571
6.3	223.3863	1.0989145	0.9951047	6.3	200.4861	1.2177986	0.9939625
6.2	311.7378	1.0990441	0.9964868	6.2	275.0792	1.2181143	0.9955913
6.1	572.6816	1.0991935	0.9980843	6.1	481.5183	1.2184777	0.9974759
6.0	15098.8759	1.0993651	0.9999272	6.0	3507.4021	1.2188960	0.9996526
TABLE - 6.11 k = 6 h = 0.75 h' = 0.75 $\sigma = 2$				TABLE - 6.12 k = 6 h = 1 h' = 1 $\sigma = 2$			
B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)	B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)
6.9	90.6254	1.3611279	0.9852030	6.9	80.7377	1.5417237	0.9812623
6.8	95.7003	1.3613657	0.9859743	6.8	85.0222	1.5421265	0.9821852
6.7	102.4075	1.3616440	0.9868782	6.7	90.6488	1.5425978	0.9832674
6.6	111.5245	1.3619686	0.9879351	6.6	98.2325	1.5431474	0.9845338
6.5	124.4130	1.3623465	0.9891684	6.5	108.8285	1.5437877	0.9860129
6.4	143.6882	1.3627853	0.9906048	6.4	124.4059	1.5445312	0.9877370
6.3	175.1003	1.3632935	0.9922743	6.3	149.1282	1.5453928	0.9897434
6.2	234.2628	1.3638808	0.9942117	6.2	193.5678	1.5463887	0.9920744
6.1	383.6615	1.3645581	0.9964559	6.1	294.8545	1.5475376	0.9947789
6.0	1438.2793	1.3653371	0.9990516	6.0	740.4404	1.5488600	0.9979126
TABLE - 6.13 k = 2 h = .25 h' = .25 $\sigma = 3$				TABLE - 6.14 k = 2 h = .25 h' = .25 $\sigma = 4$			

B	L(0)	L'(0)	P(A)
2.9	1.9625	1.1445556	0.6316338
2.8	2.0892	1.1540942	0.6441607
2.7	2.2532	1.1649373	0.6591923
2.6	2.4733	1.1773413	0.6775026
2.5	2.7833	1.1916325	0.7002140
2.4	3.2504	1.2082324	0.7290163
2.3	4.0317	1.2276942	0.7665739
2.2	5.5968	1.2507585	0.8173426
2.1	10.2774	1.2784401	0.8893694
2.0	1098.9668	1.3121654	0.9988074

VII. CONCLUSIONS

For the hypothetical values of the parameters k , h , h' & σ given at the top of each table,

We determine optimum truncated point B at which $P(A)$ the probability of accepting an item is maximum and also obtained ARL's values which represents the acceptance zone $L(O)$ and rejection zone $L'(O)$ values. The values of truncated point B of random variable X , $L(O)$, $L'(O)$ and the values for Type – C OC Curve, i.e. $P(A)$ are given in columns I, II, III and IV respectively.

From the above tables 6.1 to 6.14 we made the following conclusions

- (i) By observing the above tables the values of h , h' increases then related values of $L(0)$ decreases. So the sizes of accepted and rejected zones and $L(0)$ are inversely related.
- (ii) By observing the above tables the values of h , h' increases then related values of $P(A)$ decreases. So the sizes of accepted and rejected zones and $P(A)$ are inversely related.
- (iii) By observing the above tables the reference value K increase then $L(0)$ increases. So, reference value and $L(0)$ are positively related.
- (iv) By observing the above tables the reference value K increase then $P(A)$ increases. So, reference value and $P(A)$ are positively related.
- (v) By observing the above table we found that the value of parameter of Rayleigh distribution increases then $P(A)$ is also increases. So the parameters of Rayleigh distribution and $P(A)$ are positively related.
- (vi) The various relations exhibited among the ARL's and Type–C OC Curves with the parameters of the CASP-CUSUM based on the above table 6.1 to 6.14 are observed from the following table No.7.1.

TABLE – 7.1

B	σ	k	h	h'	L(O)	L'(O)	P(A)
2.0	2	2	0.25	0.25	848.2222	1.2964239	0.9984739
2.0	2	2	0.5	0.5	168.6135	1.8348162	0.9892353
2.0	2	2	0.75	0.75	55.0985	3.0801172	0.9470577
2.0	2	2	1	1	19.5484	7.8422041	0.7136908
4.0	2	4	0.25	0.25	9981.9218	1.1152676	0.9998883
4.0	2	4	0.5	0.5	2292.0202	1.2583251	0.9994513
4.0	2	4	0.75	0.75	926.2937	1.4404193	0.9984474
4.0	2	4	1	1	468.3694	1.6798840	0.9964262
6.0	2	6	0.25	0.25	15098.8759	1.0993651	0.9999272
6.0	2	6	0.5	0.5	3507.4021	1.2188960	0.9996526
6.0	2	6	0.75	0.75	1438.2793	1.3653371	0.9990516
6.0	2	6	1	1	740.4404	1.5488600	0.9979126
2.0	3	2	0.25	0.25	1098.9668	1.3121654	0.9988074
2.4	4	2	0.25	0.25	1208.5402	1.3177563	0.9989108

By observing the above table the values of $L(O)$ and $P(A)$, the optimum CASP-CUSUM as

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{B} = 6.0 \\ \sigma = 2 \\ \mathbf{k} = 6 \\ \mathbf{h} = 0.25 \\ \mathbf{h}' = 0.25 \end{array} \right]$$

And the value of L (O) and P (A), are 15098.8759, 0.9999272 respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Akhtar P.Md.and Sarma K.L.A.P.(2004) "Optimization of CASP-CUSUM Schemes based on Truncated Gamma Distribution." Bulletin of pure and applied sciences, Vol-23E No.2, PP.215-223.
- [2]. Beattie,B.W.,(1962), " A Continuous Acceptance Sampling Procedure based upon a Cumulative Sums Chart for number of defectives", Applied Statistics, Vol. 11, No.2, pp. 137-147.
- [3]. Hawkins, D.M. , (1992), "A Fast Accurate Approximation for Average Run Lengths of CUSUM Control Charts, Journal of Quality Technology", Vol. 24, No.1, pp. 37-43.
- [4]. Jain,M.K. ,Iyengar,S.R.K. and Jain, R.K. "Numerical Methods of Scientific and Engineering Computations", Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi.
- [5]. Kakoty, S and Chakraborty, A.B. , (1990), "A Continuous Acceptance Sampling Plan for truncated Normal distribution based on Cumulative Sums", Journal of National Institution for Quality and Reliability, Vol.2, No. 1, pp. 15-18.
- [6]. Lonnie. C. Vance, (1986), "Average Run Length of CUSUM Charts for Controlling Normal means. Journal of Quality Technology", Vol.18, pp. 189-193.
- [7]. Muhammad Riaz, Nasir Abbas and Ronald, J.M.M. Does, (2011) "Quality and Reliability Engineering International", Vol.27, 415-424.
- [8]. Page, E.S.,(1954), "Continuous Inspection Schemes", Biometrika, Vol .XLI, pp .104- 114.
- [9]. Vardeman,S. and Di-ou Ray, (1985), "Average Run Lengths for CUSUM schemes where observations are Exponentially Distributed, Technometrics", Vol.27, No.2, pp. 145-150.
- [10]. Yi Dai, Yunzhao Luo, Zhonghua Li, and Zhaojun Wang, LPMC and School of Mathematical Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin, P.R.China.