

Study of the Effect of Resistance Spot Welding Parameters on Nugget Diameter

A. K. Pandey¹, M. I. Khan², K. M. Moeed³

Department of Mechanical Engineering Integral University, Lucknow, India.

Abstract:- The present investigation considers the contribution of important spot welding parameters like welding current, electrode pressure and welding time to the nugget diameter the experiments have been conducted by varying these parameters using Taguchi method. The results of the investigation have been analyzed by using ANOVA technique. The results of the analysis indicate the current to be the most significant parameter that controls the nugget diameter. The contribution of current, pressure and time is in the ratio of 40:8:1.

Keywords:- resistance spot welding, nugget diameter, Taguchi, orthogonal array, ANOVA

I. INTRODUCTION

Resistance spot welding (RSW) is a major sheet metal joining process in many industries, such as the automobile, domestic appliances, air craft and space craft fabrications. It is an efficient joining process widely used for the fabrication of sheet metal assemblies. There are 3000-6000 spot welds in any car, which shows the level importance of the resistance spot welding. RSW has excellent techno-economic benefits such as low cost, high production rate and adaptability for automation which make it an attractive choice for auto-body assemblies, truck cabins, rail vehicles and home appliances. [1] It is one of the oldest of the electric welding processes in use by industry today. Furthermore, other metal-to-metal connections, such as wire-to-wire joints in the electronics industry, are accomplished by resistance spot welding. Application-specific measures, such as the diameter of the welding spot, determine the quality of the joint. The weld is made by a combination of heat, pressure, and time. As the name implies, it uses the resistance of the materials to the flow of current that causes localized heating between the parts to be joined. Understanding of physical mechanisms for easily manipulating and controlling weld qualities in advance is important.[2]

II. WELD QUALITY ATTRIBUTES

The quality of a weld is usually expressed by its measurable features, such as the physical attributes and the various strengths, when inspected in either a destructive or nondestructive manner. A weld's quality can be described in three ways: by its physical or geometric features, its strength or performance, or the process characteristics during welding.

The geometric features are either directly visible after a weldment is made or revealed through destructive tests, such as peeling or cross-sectioning or seeing the microstructures, or nondestructive tests using, for example, ultrasonic or x-ray devices.

The commonly used weld attributes are:

- Nugget/button size
- Penetration
- Indentation
- Cracks (surface and internal)
- Porosity/voids
- Sheet separation
- Surface appearance

Among these weld attributes, weld size, in terms of nugget width or weld button diameter, is the most frequently measured and most meaningful in determining a weld's strength. When two sheets are joined by a weld at the nugget, its size determines the area of fusion and its load-bearing capability. However, the nugget/weld size alone is often insufficient in describing a weld's quality, as it does not necessarily imply the structural integrity of the weld. Other features of a weld, such as penetration, may complement the nugget size and provide useful information on the degree of adhesion. Weld and nugget are considered interchangeability by many, especially in oral presentations. Although closely related, however, they are not the same by definition or measurement. In fact, a weld is meant to contain all parts of a weldment, such as the heat-affected zone (HAZ), in addition to the nugget. Confusion is also in the use of button diameter or nugget diameter. As a nugget and its

size are usually revealed by cross sectioning for metallographic examination, a nugget is exposed for measuring its width, not diameter, as shown in Figure 1 The figure also shows other features that can be revealed by cross-sectioning a weldment.[3]




Figure.1 Weld attributes revealed by metallographic sectioning

III. TAGUCHI METHOD

It is a powerful tool for the design of high quality systems. It provides simple, efficient and systematic approach to optimize designs for performance, quality and cost [4]. Taguchi method can be efficiently used for designing a system that operates consistently and optimally over a variety of conditions. To determine the best design it requires the use of strategically designed experiments. Taguchi approach to design of experiments is easy to adopt and apply for users with limited knowledge of statistics; hence it has gained wide popularity in the engineering and scientific community [5-6]. The desired welding parameters are determined based on experience & books.

Steps of Taguchi method are as follows:

1. Identification of the main function, to be optimized and its side effects and failure mode.
2. Identification of noise factors, testing conditions and quality characteristics.
3. Identification of the main function to be optimized.
4. Identification the control factors and their levels.
5. Selection of orthogonal array and matrix experiment.
6. Conducting the matrix experiment.
7. Analyzing the data and prediction of the optimum level.
8. Determining the contribution of the parameters on the performance.
9. Performing the verification experiment and planning the future action. [7]

This study is an experimental design process called the Taguchi design method. Taguchi design, developed by Dr. Genichi Taguchi, is a set of methodologies by which the inherent variability of materials and manufacturing processes can be taken into account at the design stage [8]. Although similar to design of experiment (DOE), the Taguchi design only conducts the balanced (orthogonal) experimental combinations, which makes the Taguchi design even more effective than a fractional factorial design. By using the Taguchi techniques, industries are able to greatly reduce product development cycle time for both design and production, therefore saving costs and increasing profit. Taguchi proposed that engineering optimization of a process or product should be carried out in a three-step approach: system design, parameter design, and tolerance design. In system design, the engineer applies scientific and engineering knowledge to produce a basic functional prototype design. The objective of the design [9] is to optimize the settings of the process parameter values for improving performance characteristics and to identify the product parameter values using the optimal process parameters.

The parameter design is the key step in the Taguchi method for achieving high quality without increasing cost. The steps included in the Taguchi parameter design are: selecting the proper orthogonal array (OA) according to the numbers of controllable factors (parameters); running experiments based on the OA; analyzing data; identifying the optimum conditions; and conducting confirmation runs using the optimal levels of the parameters. The main effects indicate the general trend of influence of each parameter. Knowledge of the contribution of individual parameters is the key for deciding the nature of the control to be exercised on a production process.[10]

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is the statistical treatment most commonly applied to the results of the experiments to determine the percentage contribution of each parameter against a stated level of confidence [11]. Taguchi suggests two different routes for carrying out the complete analysis. In the standard approach the results of a single run or the average of the repetitive runs are processed through the main effect and ANOVA. The second approach, which Taguchi strongly recommends for multiple runs, is to use the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio for the same steps in the analysis.[12]

IV. RESISTANCE WELDING PARAMETERS

There are three main parameters which control the quality of resistance spot welding. Diagrammatically shown in figure:




Figure.2 Cause and effect diagram of main welding parameters

V. METHOD OF EXPERIMENT

The three main parameters in spot welding are current, contact resistance and weld time. In order to produce good quality weld the above parameters must be controlled properly. The amount of heat generated in this process is governed by the formula,
 $Q = I^2 R T$

Where

Q = heat generated, Joules

I = current, Amperes

R = resistance of the work piece, Ohms

T = time of current flow, second

A. SELECTION OF METAL

Firstly I will select the metal to be weld according desire weldability which must rely on basic properties of the material, such as strength, corrosion or erosion resistance, ductility, and toughness. The properties of the various metallurgical structures associated with the thermal cycles encountered in the welding operation must also be included in the design process.

Material Detail:

Material used is low carbon cold rolled 0.9 mm mild steel sheets (AISI 1008/ASTM A366) with the following composition carbon 0.08%; manganese 0.6%;phosphorus 0.35%; copper 0.2% ;sulphur 0.04% remaining iron.

B. DESIGN THE ORTHOGONAL ARRAY

Depending upon number of levels in a factor, a 2 or a 3 level OA can be selected. If some factors are two-level and some are three-level, then whichever is predominant would indicate which kind of OA is to be selected. Once the decision is made about the right OA, then the number of trials for that array would provide the adequate total dof, When required dof fall between the two dof provided by two OAs, the next larger OA must be chosen.




Figure.3 Selection of taguchi design

VI. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The results have been recorded as shown in (Tab.1) and analysis the nugget diameter of the welded specimen. The following figures show the method for achieving nugget diameter length



Figure.4 Welded specimen




Figure.5 Arrangement for microscope view and one of microscopic image for measuring the nugget diameter

VII. ANALYSIS OF S/N RATIO BASED ON TAGUCHI METHOD

Taguchi recommends analyzing data using the S/N ratio that will offer two advantages; it provides guidance for selection the optimum level based on least variation around on the average value, which closest to target, and also it offers objective comparison of two sets of experimental data with respect to deviation of the average from the target. The experimental results are analyzed to investigate the main effects. According to Taguchi method, S/N ratio is the ratio of “Signal” representing desirable value, i.e. mean of output characteristics and the “noise” representing the undesirable value i.e., squared deviation of the output characteristics. It is denoted by η and the unit is dB. The S/N ratio is used to measure quality characteristic and it is also used to measure significant welding parameters. According to quality engineering the characteristics are classified as Higher the best (HB) and lower the best (LB). HB includes T-S strength and Nugget diameter which desires higher values. Similarly LB includes Heat Affected Zone (HAZ) for which lower value is preferred.

The summary statistics the S/N ratio η (dB) is given by:

$$\eta = -10 \log \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y^2}$$

Larger the best performance

$$\eta = -10 \log \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n y^2$$

Lower the best performance

TABLE 2 Experimental data for nugget diameter strength and S/N ratio

Current	Pressure	Time	Nugget Dia. (μm)	S/N Ratio
1	1	1	6800	76.6502
1	2	2	7000	77.0252
1	3	3	7210	77.2783

Study of the Effect of Resistance Spot Welding Parameters on Nugget Diameter

2	1	2	7500	77.5012
2	2	3	7700	77.7298
2	3	1	7800	77.9525
3	1	3	7900	78.0618
3	2	1	8200	78.1697
3	3	2	8620	78.8103




Figure.6 Main effects plot for s/n ratio.

TABLE-3 Response table for S/N ratio for T-S strength

Level	Current	Pressure	Weld time
1	76.98	77.40	77.59
2	77.73	77.64	77.78
3	78.35	77.01	77.69
Delta	1.36	0.61	0.19
Rank	1	2	3

VIII. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)

ANOVA is a statistically based, objective decision-making tool for detecting any differences in the average performance of groups of items tested. ANOVA helps in formally testing the significance of all main factors and their interactions by comparing the mean square against an estimate of the experimental errors at specific confidence levels.

TABLE-4 Analysis of Variance for SN ratios

CF	DOF	SS	MS	F ratio	P	% C
Current	2	2173622	1153144	128.2	0.008	80.82
Pressure	2	453089	147878	26.72	0.097	16.85
Time	2	45622	19144	2.69	0.452	1.70
ERROR	2	16956	8478			
TOTAL	8	2689289				

R-Sq = 95.3% R-Sq(adj) = 81.1%; Significant at 95% confidence

IX. CONCLUSION

The following conclusions could be drawn from the present investigation.

1. The response of S/N ratio with respect to nugget diameter indicates the welding current to be the most significant parameter that controls the nugget diameter where's the pressure and welding time are comparatively less significant in this regard.
2. The contribution of welding current welding time and pressure towards nugget diameter is **80.82, 16.85 and 1.70** respectively as determined by the ANOVA method




Figure.7 Contribution Pi Diagram

3. It follows the **80-20 rule** of pareto principle. The current contributes 80% the formation of nugget diameter although it is one of the important contributing factors




Figure.8 pareto chart of contributing factors

REFERENCES

- [1]. Aslanlar S, Ogur A, Ozsarac U, Ilhan E. Welding time effect on mechanical properties of automotive sheets in electrical resistance spot welding. *J MaterDes* 2008;29:1427–31..
- [2]. Luo Yi , Liu Jinhe ,Xu Huibin, Xiong Chengzhi, Liu lin. Regression modeling and process analysis of resistance spot welding on galvanized steel sheet
- [3]. AWS D8.7: Recommended Practices for Automotive Weld Quality—Resistance Spot Welding, American Welding Society, Miami, FL, 2004.
- [4]. W.H. Yang, Y.S. Tang, Design optimization of cutting parameters for turning operations based on Taguchi method, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 84 (1998) 122-129.
- [5]. D.C. Montgomery, Design and analysis of experiments, 4th edition, New York: Wiley; 1997.
- [6]. N. Nalbant, H. Gokkaya, G. Sur, Application of Taguchi method in the optimization of cutting parameters for surface roughness in turning, *Materials and Design*, date received
- [7]. Onkar N. Panday “Total Quality Management”
- [8]. G.S. Peace, Taguchi Methods, A Hands-on Approach, Addison-Wesley, MA, 1992.
- [9]. Ross, P.J., Taguchi Technique for Quality Engineering, 1988 (McGraw-Hill: New York).
- [10]. Roy, R.K., A Primer on the Taguchi Method, 1990 (Van Nostrand Reinhold: New York).
- [11]. K. S. Yeung, and P.H. Thornton, “Transient Thermal Analysis of Spot Welding Electrodes”, *Welding Research*, January, (1999), pp. 1–6.
- [12]. M. Jou, “Experimental Investigation of Resistance Spot Welding for Sheet Metals Used in Automotive Industry”, *JSME I.J. Series C-Mechanical Systems Machine Elements and Manufacturing*, 2(2001), pp. 544–552.
- [13]. *Welding Handbook Volume 2 8th Edition, Welding Processes, Part 1* p 534-535
- [14]. J.f. Young and R.S. Shane, Ed., *Materials And Processes, Part B: Processes*, 3rd Ed.,Marcel dekker, 1985, p 11257-1126
- [15]. J.g. Bralla, ed., *Handbook Of Product Design For Manufacturing*, McGraw-Hill, 1986, p 7-35
- [16]. Ewing, K. W., Cheresch, M., Thompson, R., and Kukuchek, P., 1982, “Static and Impact Strengths of Spot-Welded HSLA and Low Carbon Steel Joints,” SAE Paper 820281.

- [17]. VandenBossche, D. J., 1977, "Ultimate Strength and Failure Mode of Spot Welds in High Strength Steels," SAE paper 770214.
- [18]. Radaj, D., 1989, "Stress Singularity, Notch Stress and Structural Stress at Spot-Welded Joints," Eng. Fract. Mech., 34~2!, pp. 495–506.
- [19]. Radaj, D., and Zhang, S., 1993, "On the Relations Between Notch Stress and Crack Stress Intensity in Plane Shear and Mixed Mode Loading," Eng. Fract. Mech., 44~5!, pp. 691–704.
- [20]. Zhang, S., 2001, "Approximate Stress Formulas for a Multiaxial Spot Weld Specimen," Weld. J. ~Miami!, 80~8! 201s–203s.
- [21]. Zhang, S., 1999, "Approximate Stress Intensity Factors and Notch Stresses for Common Spot-Welded Specimens," Weld. J. ~Miami!, 78~5!, pp. 1735–1795.
- [22]. Wung, P., 2001, "A Force-Based Failure Criterion for Spot Weld Design," Exp. Mech., 41~4!, pp. 107–113.
- [23]. Wung, P., Walsh, T., Ourchane, A., Stewart, W., and Jie, M., 2001, "Failure of Spot Welds Under In-Plane Static Loading," Exp. Mech., 41~1!, pp. 100–106.
- [24]. Zuniga, S., and Sheppard, S. D., 1997, "Resistance Spot Weld Failure Loads and Modes in Overload Conditions," Fatigue and Fracture Mechanics: 27th Volume, ASTM STP 1296, R. S. Piascik, J. C. Newman, and N. E. Dowling, eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, pp. 469–489. 802.11, 1997.
- [25]. Barkey, M. E., and Kang, H., 1999, "Testing of Spot Welded Coupons in Combined Tension and Shear," Exp. Tech., 23~5!, pp. 20–22.
- [26]. Lee, Y., Wehner, T., Lu, M., Morrisett, T., Pakalnins, E., 1998, "Ultimate Strength of Resistance Spot Welds Subjected to Combined Tension and Shear," J. Test. Eval., 26~3!, pp. 213–219.
- [27]. Lin, S. H., Pan, J., Wu, S., Tyan, T., and Wung, P., 2002, "Failure Loads of Spot Welds under Combined Opening and Shear Static Loading Conditions," Int. J. Solids Struct., 39, pp. 19–39.
- [28]. Copeland, Lee. "Object-Oriented Testing." Software Quality Engineering. STAR East 2001. The Rosen Centre Hotel, Orlando, Florida. 14 May 2001
- [29]. Sloane, Neil J. A. A Library of Orthogonal Arrays. Information Sciences Research Center, AT&T Shannon Labs. 9 Aug. 2001 <<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/oadir/>>.
- [30]. Wu C.F.J., and Hamada M., Planning, Analysis and Parameter Design Optimiza-tion, John Wiley & Sons, New York (NY), 2000.
- [31]. "A field guid to expermental design" Washington State University ,Tree Fruit Research and Extension Center <http://www.tfrec.wsu.edu/anova/basic.htmlp>
- [32]. Handbook for resistance spot welding, Miller Electric Mfg. Co. Appleton, 2010
- [33]. C.F. Larson, Bibliography on resistance welding 1950–1971, Welding Journal 51 (1972).
- [34]. ASM Metals HandBook Volume 06 - Welding, Brazing, and Soldering.