# Mn<sup>2+</sup> Doped K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Single Crystal's Local Structure and Optical Absorption

Maroj Bharati<sup>a</sup>, Vikram Singh<sup>a</sup>, Ram Kripal<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Nehru Gram Bharti (DU), Jamunipur, Prayagraj, India <sup>b</sup> EPR Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Allahabad, Prayagraj-211002, India

## Abstract

Employing perturbation theory and the superposition model, the splitting parameters for the zero field of  $Mn^{2+}$  doped crystals of  $K_2SO_4$  are obtained. When the local distortion is included in the computation, the determined parameters fairly match the experimental ones. Theoretical evidence corroborates the experimental finding that the  $Mn^{2+}$  ion substitutes at the  $K^+$  site in  $K_2SO_4$ . The optical spectra of the system are computed by diagonalizing the complete Hamiltonian in the coupling scheme of the intermediate crystal field, using the crystal field parameters obtained from the superposition model and the crystal field analysis program. The calculated and experimental band locations agree fairly well. Consequently, the results of the experiment are confirmed by the theoretical analysis.

**Keywords:** Inorganic compounds, Single crystal, Crystal fields, Electron paramagnetic resonance, Zero field splitting.

Date of Submission: 06-06-2025

Date of acceptance: 16-06-2025

# I. Introduction

The method of electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) can be used to ascertain the transition metal ions' energies as they go through Zeeman transitions.  $Mn^{2+}$  ion is the most studied transition ion since, even at room temperature, it emits the EPR signal. This ion has  $3d^5$  electronic configuration and its ground state is  ${}^6S_{5/2}$ . The paramagentism of this ion can be attributed to only the electron spin because the ion has zero angular momentum. The small structural changes of the crystal [1-3] show sensitiveness to the zero field splitting of this ion in crystals.

For use in EPR [4-6] and optical spectroscopy [7-8], the parameters for zero field splitting (ZFS) and crystal field (CF) can be semi-empirically modeled using the superposition model (SPM). In [9], the spin Hamiltonian (SH) along with other Hamiltonians is discussed. The parameters of the crystal field (CF) are often found utilizing SPM and the point-charge model [10]even if the exchange charge model (ECM) is a useful technique as well for analyzing the effects of crystal fields in single crystals incorporated with rare earth and transition ions [11]. In this study, we used SPM to calculate the ZFS parameters and the CF parameters. SPM was suggested [12] for CF based on the assumptions: (1) An algebraic total of the crystal's other ions' contributions can be used to determine the paramagnetic ion's CF. (2) All the significant contributions to the conservation of free energy from each paramagnetic ion have axial symmetry with respect to their position vector when the ion is in the chosen coordinate system's origin. (3) The CF contributions of just nearby or coordinated ions are to be taken into account. (4) Across various host crystals, contributions to CF from a solitary ion (ligand) can be transmitted. The axial symmetry assumption, however (2) permits the transformation of one coordinate system into another, the first assumption provides support for the applicability of superposition principle in characterizing the CF. Nonetheless, a more limited version of assumption (3) is sometimes utilized, when solely the closest neighbor ions are occupied. According to the final ligand transferability assumption (4), the only factors influencing the contributions of one ion to CF are its character and separation from the paramagnetic ion. In order to perform an SPM analysis on the CF, it is essential to obtain a stable polar coordinate system ( $R_L, \theta_L, \Phi_L$ ) for each ligand or ion from the host crystal's X-ray data. When transition metal ions are doped, ionic size, ionic charge, and inter-ionic bonding mismatches will probably result in some degree of local distortion. To find the fitted values of the SPM power-law exponents and the intrinsic parameters, a non-linear or linear least-square fit may be performed on an adequate quantity of CF parameters.Mn<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> experimental spin-Hamiltonian parameters in CaO and MgO crystals have been critically analyzed [13]. For the EPR data, it gives the SPM parameters' exact values and demonstrates that the superposition principle is satisfied by the CF for 3d ions. A strict lattice relaxation model was utilized [14] to ascertain sets of SPM intrinsic parameters based on dependable ligand distances for the oxides of alkali earth.

For Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> doped MgO, CaO, and SrO (R0 = 2.0 Å):  $\overline{b_2} = (-1552\pm48) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $(-6440\pm113) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively, with a fixed  $t_2 = 16$  for both ions. For both Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup>, the values of  $\overline{b_4}$  are (9.9±0.8)  $\times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , with a fixed  $t_4$  of 16±4 for each ion. The fitted values for Mn<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup>, respectively, were 17.7 and 14.4 for the separate fitting of  $t_2$ .

Potassium Sulfate (PS) is an inorganic compound with the chemical formula  $K_2SO_4$ . As a chemical compound, it is observed to be odorless, hard, and has a saline like- taste. Potassium sulfate is orthorhombic  $D_{2h}^{16}$  at room temperature; when the temperature is higher than 583 °C, it gradually transforms into trigonal  $D_{3d}^{3}$ . Potassium sulfate is mainly used as fertilizer and applied to some chlorine-avoiding crops like tobacco, citrus, grapes, tea, flax, potatoes, vegetables, etc. Potassium sulfate is also an important chemical raw material, which can be used to prepare potassium alum, potassium water glass, potassium carbonate and potassium persulfate, etc. It is also used as a drug (slow release agent). It is a component of gunpowder that is used in artillery and acts as a flash suppressant. Potassium sulfate is used in making lubricants and dyes and because it is hard and soluble in water, as a substitute for soda in soda blasting. It is used in animal feed and in pyrotechnics for creating a purple flame [15]. The natural sources of Potassium Sulfate are minerals like Kainite, Schonite, Leonite, Langbeinite, Polyhalite, and Aphthitalite [16]. Single crystals of undoped potassium sulfate and those doped with  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$ , and  $Mn^{2+}$  ions have had their electrical conductivity measured. The lower symmetry of  $K_2SO_4$  is responsible for the lower defect formation energy in comparison to high symmetry cubic systems, while the presence of divalent ions raises the cation migration energy.

Over a temperature range of 300–145 K, a detailed EPR study of the  $Mn^{2+}$  ion in single crystals of  $K_2SO_4$  has been conducted [17]. It is proposed to replace  $\beta$ -K<sup>+</sup> with  $Mn^{2+}$ , which is linked to  $\beta$ -K<sup>+</sup> vacancies that are first-, second-, and third-neighbor related. Analysis and reporting of parameters have been done for the room temperature spectra. A probable phase change is indicated by the studied variation in the fine-structure spread with temperature, which is around 143K (-130°C).

In the current study, the ZFS parameters D and E are calculated for the Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion in PS at substitutional  $\beta$ -K<sup>+</sup> site at 300 K using CF parameters estimated from SPM and perturbation equations [18]. The aim is to find the location of  $Mn^{2+}$  ion and the distortion taking place in the crystal. The results found for the  $Mn^{2+}$  ion at substitutional  $\beta$ -K<sup>+</sup> site in PS crystal with local distortion yield reasonable match with the experimental values. Additional objective of the study seeks to obtain the extent to which CF theory and SPM analysis can be applied to Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions in PS crystals in order to create an SPM parameter database. Molecular nanomagnet (MNM) design and computer modeling of their magnetic and spectroscopic characteristics will be determined by this. SMMs, or single-molecule magnets [19], single-chain magnets (SCM) [20], and single ion magnets (SIM) [21] are currently included in the transition ion-based MNM class. The above systems have drawn large attention because of the noteworthy magnetic characteristics of MNM, for instance, magnetization's macroscopic quantum tunneling and potential uses in quantum computing and high-density information storage [19, 20]. There have been numerous synthesized SCM or SMM systems with Mn<sup>2+</sup>andCr<sup>3+</sup> ions [22].The parameters of the model established in this case may be used for ZFS parameter calculations for Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions at similar sites in MNM, since model calculations for simpler crystal systems can serve as a foundation for more complex ones. The modeling employed in this work can be extended to explore crystals of scientific and industrial interest in numerous other ion-host systems.

## II. Crystal Structure

Detailed structure of  $K_2SO_4$  was determined by Ogg [23]. The atoms of sulfur are arranged on reflection planes, which are the  $SO_4$  group's symmetry planes. The  $SO_4$  group has two oxygen atoms on the plane and the other two equally spaced from it. Layers of atoms spaced a/2 apart and parallel to (100) make up the structure. The coordinate origin, denoted by the center of the unit, is the reflection planes (100)<sub>1/4</sub> and (100)<sub>1/4</sub>. The K<sup>+</sup> ions in the crystal are divided into two groups, designated as  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . For the two sets of potassium ions in the structure, there are differences in their oxygen environments. The dimensions of unit cell at room temperature are 5.731 Å for *a*, 10.008 Å for *b*, and 7.424 Å for *c*. At room temperature, the crystal's symmetry is orthorhombic, and its space group is  $D_{2h}^{16}$  [23]. The crystal structure of potassium sulfate with symmetry adopted axis system (SAAS) is depicted in Fig. 1.





## III. Crystal Field and Zero Field Splitting Parameter Calculations

The analysis of EPR spectra is done with the spin Hamiltonian [5]:

$$\mu_B B.g.S + D\left\{S_Z^2 - \frac{1}{3}S(S+1)\right\} + E(S_x^2 - S_y^2) = \mathcal{H}(1)$$

where B,  $\mu_B$ , g, D and E are the applied magnetic field, Bohr magneton, splitting factor, second rank axial, and second rank rhombic ZFS parameters [24–25]. The a, b, and c crystal axes are along the laboratory axes (x, y, z). The directions of metal-ligand bonds that are mutually perpendicular are referred to as the local symmetry axes of the site or the symmetry adopted axes (SAA). As demonstrated in Fig.1, the axis-Z of SAAS is along the crystal axis- c, and (X, Y) are perpendicular to the axis-Z. When Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions are doped in K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> crystal, these enter the lattice at substitutional  $\beta$ -K<sup>+</sup> sites with some local distortion [26].

For a  $3d^5$  ion, the spin Hamiltonian can be written as [27],

 $\mathcal{H}_{=}\mathcal{H}_{o}+\mathcal{H}_{so}+\mathcal{H}_{ss}+\mathcal{H}_{c} \quad (2)$ 

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{c}} = \sum B_{kq} C_q^{(k)}(3)$$

where  $B_{kq}$ , in Wybourne notation, are the CF parameters and  $C_q^{(k)}$  are the spherical tensor operators of Wybourne.  $B_{kq} \neq 0$  in the orthorhombic symmetry crystal field only for k = 2, 4; q = 0, 2, 4. Employing SPM, the CF parameters  $B_{kq}$  are calculated [28].

The symmetry of the local field about  $Mn^{2+}$  ions in the  $K_2SO_4$  crystal is considered to be orthorhombic (OR-type I) [5]. In OR-type I symmetry, the ZFS parameters D and E are established as follows [28]:

$$D = \left(\frac{3\varsigma^2}{70P^2D'}\right) \left[-B_{20}^2 - 21\varsigma B_{20} + 2B_{22}^2\right] + \left(\frac{\varsigma^2}{63P^2G}\right) \left[-5B_{40}^2 - 4B_{42}^2 + 14B_{44}^2\right]$$

$$E = \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}\varsigma^2}{70P^2D'}\right) \left[2B_{20} - 21\varsigma\right] B_{22} + \left(\frac{\varsigma^2}{63P^2G}\right) \left[3\sqrt{10}B_{40} + 2\sqrt{7}B_{44}\right] B_{42}$$
(4)
(5)

In above Eqns. P = 7B+7C, G = 10B+5C, D' = 17B+5C. B and C are Racah parameters and  $\zeta$  is the spin-orbit coupling parameter. With the average covalency parameter N in mind, we get B = N<sup>4</sup>B<sub>0</sub>, C = N<sup>4</sup>C<sub>0</sub>,  $\zeta$  = N<sup>2</sup> $\zeta$ <sub>0</sub>, where  $\zeta$ <sub>0</sub> presents free ion spin-orbit coupling parameter and B<sub>0</sub> and C<sub>0</sub> Racah parameters for free ion [27, 29]. For free Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion, B<sub>0</sub> = 960 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C<sub>0</sub> = 3325 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $\zeta$ <sub>0</sub> = 336 cm<sup>-1</sup> [5].

The parameter N is evaluated from N=  $(\sqrt{B/B_0} + \sqrt{C/C_0})/2$ taking Racah parameters (B = 850 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C = 2970 cm<sup>-1</sup>) obtained from optical analysis of Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion in zinc cesium sulphate hexahydrate, the crystal with oxygen ligands [30], since there is no optical study of Mn<sup>2+</sup> doped K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> reported in literature.

The CF parameters, in terms of co-ordination factor  $K_{kq}(\theta_j, \phi_j)$  and intrinsic parameter  $A_{\kappa}(R_j)$ , using SPM are found [12, 28] as

$$B_{kq} = \sum_{j} \overline{A_k}(R_j) K_{kq}(\theta_j, \phi_j)$$
(6)

 $\overline{A_{\kappa}}(R_{i})$  is provided by

$$\overline{\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{k}}}(\mathsf{R}_{0})\left(\frac{\mathsf{R}_{0}}{\mathsf{R}_{j}}\right)^{\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{k}}} = \bar{A}_{k}\left(R_{j}\right)$$
(7)

where the ligand's distance from the d<sup>n</sup> ion is denoted by  $R_j$ ,  $A_k(R_0)$  is the intrinsic parameter,  $R_0$  is the reference distance of the ligand from the metal ion and  $t_k$  denotes power law exponent. For Mn<sup>2+</sup>doped crystals,  $t_2 = 3$  and  $t_4 = 7$  are used [28]. As the co-ordination about Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion is octahedral for all the sites,  $\overline{A_4}$  is found from the relation [31]

$$\overline{\mathsf{A}_{4}}(\mathsf{R}_{0}) = \frac{3}{4}Dq(8)$$

From optical study [30],  $Dq = 790 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $\overline{A_4}(R_0) = 592.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . For 3d<sup>5</sup> ions the  $\frac{A_2}{\overline{A_4}}$  falls in the

range 8 - 12 [27, 32-33]. Taking  $\frac{\overline{A_2}}{\overline{A_4}} = 10, \overline{A_2} = 5925 \text{ cm}^{-1}.$ 

## IV. Results and Discussion

Using SPM, parameters  $\overline{A_2}$  and  $\overline{A_4}$ , and the ligand arrangement about  $Mn^{2+}$  ion as indicated in Fig. 1, the CF parameters of the  $Mn^{2+}$  ion at the substitutional  $\beta$ -K<sup>+</sup> sites are computed. Table 1 provides atomic coordinates in K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>single crystal along with bond length R (both with and without distortion) and angles  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$  for sites I, II and III. The CF parameters using Eq. (6) and ZFS parameters from Eqs. (4) & (5) together with reference distance R<sub>0</sub> are depicted in Table 2. Table 2 demonstrates that R<sub>0</sub> = 0.200 nm is somewhat less than the sum of radii of ions (0.223 nm) of  $Mn^{2+}$  = 0.083 nm and  $O^{2-}$  = 0.140 nm along with no distortion yield ZFS parameters for substitutional octahedral site to be different from the experimental values [17]. The experimental values of ZFS parameters |D| and |E| (in 10<sup>-4</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) for sites I, II and III are 558.7, 541.9; 616.7, 522.3; 574.6, 537.2 respectively. |E|/|D| is found as 0.969, 0.846, and 0.934 being quite larger than the standard value 0.33 [25]. To have |E|/|D| in the standard range, the S4 transformation was used where the experimental |D| and |E| (in 10<sup>-4</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) become 1092.3, 8.4; 1091.7, 47.1; 1093, 18.7 with |E|/|D| 0.007, 0.043, 0.017 respectively for site I, II and III. Theoretical |E|/|D| with no distortion is also larger than the standard value 0.33 [25]. Therefore, local distortion was taken into consideration. Using above value of R<sub>0</sub> and local distortion, the ZFS parameters for substitutional octahedral sites I, II and III are in good accord with those from the experiment [17]. The parameters for substitutional octahedral sites I, II and III are in good accord with those from the experiment [17]. The parameters t<sub>2</sub> = 3 and t<sub>4</sub> = 7 with transformation S2 for standardization [25] have been used to obtain |E|/|D| ratio < 0.33 and calculated ZFS parameters near to experimental values.

Position of Mn <sup>2+</sup>	Ligands Spherical co-ordinates of ligands					
	х	v z	z R(nm)	$\theta^0$	φ°	
		رگ (گ	~ /		T	
	With	(A)	on			
Site · Substitutional	01 0 250	0417 0.0	48 0 10623 R	87.41 θ.	90 00a.	
K <sub>0</sub>	02 0.250	-0.443 0.3	$17 0.79618 R_{1}$	87.72 θ <sub>2</sub>	90.00¢1	
(0.250, 0.317, 0.317)	03 0.037	0.347 0.3	$17  0.26681  R_3$	$83.17 \theta_3$	94.61@3	
0.000)	04 0.537	0.153 0.1	83 0.26916 R <sub>4</sub>	86.10 θ <sub>4</sub>	83.86φ <sub>4</sub>	
,	O5 -0.037	7 0.847 -0.3	317 0.60314 R <sub>5</sub>	93.01 θ <sub>5</sub>	92.73ø <sub>5</sub>	
	O6 0.463	-0.347 0.8	317 0.90796 R <sub>6</sub>	84.83 θ <sub>6</sub>	88.65 φ <sub>6</sub>	
	With	distortion				
I	01		0.18702	88.61	91.50	
	O2		0.87618	89.72	92.00	
	O3		0.44681	84.67	96.61	
	O4		0.34916	94.10	89.86	
	05		0.78814	95.01	92.73	
	O6		0.99296	86.83	90.65	
П	01		0.18704	88.61	91.50	
	O2		0.87618	89.72	92.00	
	O3		0.44681	84.67	96.61	
	O4		0.34916	94.10	89.86	
	05		0.78814	95.01	92.73	
	O6		0.99296	86.83	90.65	
III	O1		0.18699	88.61	91.50	
	O2		0.87618	89.72	92.00	
	O3		0.44681	84.67	96.61	
	O4		0.34916	94.10	89.86	
	05		0.78814	95.01	92.73	
	O6		0.99296	86.83	90.65	

Table 1. Atomic coordinates, bond length R (both with and without distortion), and angles  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$  in K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> single crystal (sites I, II and III).

Table 2. The Mn<sup>2+</sup> doped K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>crystal's crystal field and zero field splitting parameters.

ZFS	CF parameters (cm <sup>-1</sup> )					para	parameters (10 <sup>-4</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> )			_	
Site	R <sub>0</sub> (nm)	B <sub>20</sub>	<b>B</b> <sub>22</sub>	$B_{40}$	$\mathbf{B}_{42}$	B <sub>44</sub>	D	E	$ \mathbf{E} / \mathbf{D} $		
Site I			Withou	t distortio	n						
$\frac{A_2}{\overline{A_4}} = 10$	0.200	-44451	.2 -54645	.9 14639	95.1 15494	7.4 209	448.9 4	1.1 17	.4 0.422		
0: I			With di	stortion							
$\frac{\overline{A_2}}{\overline{A_4}} = 10$	0.200	-10081.9	8038.36	3 2866.3	75 3021.00	56 6400.3	865 1092	2 265	.6 0.243		
C' II						Exp.	1092.3	8.4	0.007		
$\frac{\overline{A_2}}{\overline{A_4}} = 10$	0.200	-10079.5	8036.406	5 2864.36	6 3018.94	9 6397.5	66 1091	.6 265.	6 0.243		
Site III						Exp.	1091.7	47.1	0.043		
$\frac{\overline{A_2}}{\overline{A_4}} = 10$	0.200	-10085.7	8041.35	1 2869.44	44 3024.30	)1 6404.6	541 1093	.2 265	.7 0.243		

Exp. 1093.2 18.7 0.017

The CFA program [34] and  $B_{kq}$  parameters (with distortion) are used to calculate the Mn<sup>2+</sup> doped K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> single crystals' optical spectra. After diagonalization of the complete Hamiltonian, the positions of the energy bands of Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion are obtained.

Table 3 displays the energy band positions for substitutional sites based on experimental and calculation data [30].

Table 3. The positions of Energy bands of single crystal of K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>doped Mn<sup>2+</sup>, both calculated and experimental.

Transition from ${}^{^{6}}A_{^{1}g}(S)$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )(c	Observed m <sup>-1</sup> )		Calculated	
	Ι	II	III	
${}^{4}T_{1g}(G)$	18436	19149, 19159, 20268, 20283,	19153, 19162, 20270, 20285,	19144, 19153, 20264, 20279,
${}^{4}T_{2g}(G)$	22815	21970, 22008 22467, 22488, 23024, 23037, 23127, 23153	21971, 22010 22469, 22490, 23025, 23038, 23128, 23155	21968, 22006 22465, 22486, 23023, 23036, 23125, 23151
${}^{4}E_{g}(G)$	24783	23457, 23472, 23662, 23685	23458, 23474, 23663, 23686	23455, 23470, 23662, 23684
${}^{4}A_{1g}(G) \\ {}^{4}T_{2g}(D)$	24850 28003	24862, 24865 27347, 27365, 27835, 27851, 28972, 29045	24862, 24865 26602, 26638, 27347, 27365, 27835, 27852	24862, 24865 26603, 26638, 27346, 27364, 27834, 27851
${}^{4}E_{g}(D)$	29870	29759, 29850,	28971, 29044	28975, 29048, 20750, 20850
${}^{4}T_{1g}(P)$	32435	32351, 32434, 32829, 32935, 33089, 33244	29739, 29830 31628, 31805, 32354, 32436, 32831, 32934	29739, 29850 31620, 31797, 32347, 32429, 32826, 32937
${}^{4}A_{2g}(F)$		36793, 37164	36795, 37165	36791, 37163
${}^{4}T_{1g}(F)$	41460	40603, 41226, 41240, 41510, 41535, 41566	40603, 41226, 41240, 41509, 41534, 41567	40604, 41226, 41241, 41511, 41536, 41564

Table 3 indicates that the calculated and experimental energy band positions agree fairly well. Therefore, the theoretical results corroborate the experimental finding [17, 30] that  $Mn^{2+}$  ions enter the K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>crystal at substitutional octahedral sites. The model parameters obtained here may be used in ZFS parameter evaluations for  $Mn^{2+}$  ions at comparable MNM sites.

#### V. Conclusions

Zero field splitting parameters using perturbation theory and the superposition model for  $K_2SO_4$  single crystals doped with  $Mn^{2+}$  ions are evaluated. The ZFS parameters calculated and the experimental values agree well. The computed positions of the optical energy bands agree reasonably well with those from the experiment. Thus, experimental result is supported by the theoretical finding that  $Mn^{2+}$  ions occupy substitutional sites in  $K_2SO_4$ . The model parameters estimated in this study may be used for ZFS parameter calculations for  $Mn^{2+}$  ions at comparable locations in molecular nano magnets. The current modeling technique can be extended to explore crystals of various scientific and industrial applications.

### Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the Head of Physics Department of Allahabad University, Allahabad for giving facilities of the department and to Prof. C. Rudowicz of Faculty of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznan, Poland for CFA program.

**Declarations** 

## **Ethical Approval:**

This research did not contain any studies involving animal or human participants, nor did it take place on any private or protected areas. No specific permissions were required for corresponding locations.

## **Competing interests:**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Authors' contributions:

Maroj Bharati and Vikram Singh- performed calculations, wrote the manuscript and prepared the figure. Ram Kripal- idea and supervision.

All authors have reviewed the manuscript.

## **Funding:**

No funding is received.

### Availability of data and materials:

The data will be made available on request.

#### References

- [1]. J. A. Weil, J. R. Bolton, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance: Elementary Theory and Practical Applications; 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 2007.
- F. E. Mabbs, D. Collison, D. Gatteschi, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of d Transition Metal Compounds; Elsevier, Amsterdam, [2]. 1992
- [3]. R. M. Krishna, V. P. Seth, S. K. Gupta, D. Prakash, I. Chand, J. L. Rao, EPR of Mn2+-ion-doped single crystals of Mg[C4H3O4]2·6H2O, Spectrochim. Acta A 53 (1997) 253-258.
- [4]. C. Rudowicz, P. Gnutek, M. Açıkg"oz, Superposition model in electron magnetic resonance spectroscopy - a primer for experimentalists with illustrative applications and literature database, Appl. Spectrosc. Rev. 54 (2019) 673-718.
- [5]. A. Abragam, B. Bleaney, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ion, Dover, New York, 1986.
- J.R. Pilbrow, Transition-Ion Electron Paramagnetic Resonance, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990. [6].
- [7]. B.G. Wybourne, Spectroscopic Properties of Rare Earth, Wiley, New York, 1965.
- [8]. J. Mulak, Z. Gajek, The Effective Crystal Field Potential, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2000.
- R. Bo'ca, Zero-field splitting in metal complexes, Coord. Chem. Rev. 248 (2004) 757-815. [9]
- M. G. Brik, N. M. Avram (Eds.). Optical Properties of 3d-Ions in Crystals: Spectroscopy and Crystal Field Analysis. Heidelberg: [10]. Springer, (2013), Y. Y. Yeung: Chapter 3, pp. 95-121.
- [11]. [ M. G. Brik, N. M. Avram, C. N. Avram, Crystal Field Analysis of Cr<sup>3+</sup> Energy Levels in LiGa<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> Spinel, Acta Phys. Polon. A112(2007) 1055-1060.
- [12]. D. J. Newman and B. Ng, The superposition model of crystal fields, Rep. Prog. Phys. 52 (1989) 699-763.
- D. J. Newman, E. Siegel, Superposition model analysis of Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> spin-Hamiltonian parameters, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. [13]. 9 (1976)4285-4292.
- [14]. Y. Y. Yeung,Local distortion and zero-field splittings of 3d<sup>5</sup> ions in oxide crystals, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 21 (1988)2453-2462.
- [15]. H.Schultz, G. Bauer, E. Schachl, F. Hagedorn, P. Schmittinger, Potassium Compounds, Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH., 2005.
- M.Gaultier, G. Pannetier, Structure cristalline de la forme 'bassetempérature' du sulfate de potassium K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-beta, Bull. Soc. Chim. [16]. France (in French), 1(1968) 105–112.
- B. V. R.Chowdari, P.Venkateswarlu, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Mn<sup>2+</sup> in K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Single Crystal, J. Chem. Phys. [17]. 48(1968)318-322.
- W. L. Yu, M.G. Zhao, Spin-Hamiltonian parameters of <sup>6</sup>S state ions.Phys. Rev. B 37(1988)9254-9267. [18].
- [19]. G. Aromí, E. K. Brechin, Synthesis of 3d metallic single-molecule magnets, Struct. Bonding 122 (2006) 1-67.
- [20]. C. Coulon, H. Misayaka, R.Clérac, Single-Chain Magnets: Theoretical Approach and Experimental Systems, Struct. Bonding 122 (2006) 163-206.
- M. Murrie, Cobalt(II) single-molecule magnets, Chem. Soc. Rev. 39 (2010) 1986-1995. [21].
- [22]. C. van Wüllen, Magnetic anisotropy through cooperativity in multinuclear transition metal complexes: theoretical investigation of an anisotropic exchange mechanism, Mol. Phys. 111 (2013) 2392-2397.
- A. Ogg, The Crystal Structure of the Isomorphous Sulphatesof Potassium, Ammonium, Rubidium, and Cesium, Phil. Mag. 5 (1928) [23]. 354-367
- [24]. C. J. Radnell, J. R. Pilbrow, S. Subramanian, M. T. Rogers, Electron paramagnetic resonance of Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions in (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SbF<sub>5</sub>. J. Chem. Phys. 62(1975) 4948-4952.

- [25]. C. Rudowicz, R. Bramley, On standardization of the spin Hamiltonian and the ligand field Hamiltonian for orthorhombic symmetry. J. Chem. Phys. 83(1985) 5192- 5197.
- [26]. B. N. Figgis, M. A. Hitchman, Ligand Field Theory and its Applications; Wiley, New York, 2000.
- [27]. T. H. Yeom, S. H. Choh, M. L. Du, M. S. Jang, EPR study of Fe<sup>3+</sup> impurities in crystalline BiVO<sub>4</sub>. Phys. Rev. B 53(1996) 3415-3421.
- [28]. T. H. Yeom, S. H. Choh, M. L. Du, A theoretical investigation of the zero-field splitting parameters for an Mn<sup>2+</sup> centre in a BiVO<sub>4</sub> single crystal. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 5(1993) 2017-2024.
- [29]. C. K. Jorgensen, Modern Aspects of Ligand Field Theory; North- Holland, Amsterdam, 1971, p.305.
- [30]. K. Purandar, J. L. Rao, S. V. J. Lakshman, Optical Absorption Spectrum of Mn2+ in Zinc Cesium Sulphte Hexahydrate, Acta Phys. Slov. 34(1984)195-207.
- [31]. D. J. Newman, B. Ng (Eds.), Crystal Field Handbook; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [32]. A. Edgar, Electron paramagnetic resonance studies of divalent cobalt ions in some chloride salts. J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 9(1976) 4303-4314.
- [33]. R. Kripal, M. G. Misra, A. K. Yadav, P. Gnutek, M. Açıkgöz, C. Rudowicz, Theoretical Analysis of Crystal field Parameters and Zero field Splitting Parameters for Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions in Tetramethylammonium Tetrachlorozincate, Polyhedron, 235(2023)116341.
- [34]. Y. Y. Yeung, C. Rudowicz, Crystal Field Energy Levels and State Vectors for the 3d<sup>N</sup> Ions at Orthorhombic or Higher Symmetry Sites. J. Comput. Phys. 109(1993)150-152.