International Journal of Engineering Research and Development
e- ISSN: 2278-067X, p-ISSN: 2278-800X, www.ijerd.com
Volume 21, Issue 10 (October 2025), PP 124-130

Context Architecture as a Vector of Innovation: Pathways to the Consolidation of the Energy Transition

Antonio Werbiton Marinho Almeida[1] https://orcid.org/0009-0002-1904-7522; Camila de Lima Forte[2] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7791-1203; Cleilson Coutinho da Silva[3] https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6952-6355; Eligenes Sampaio do Nascimento[4] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6414-8093; Evandro de Menezes Araújo[5] https://orcid.org/0009-0003-0246-2460; Francisco Fábio Braga Florêncio[6] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4676-4922; Francisco José Lopes Cajado[7] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8824-9251; Givanildo Ximenes Santana[8] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8337-1082; Irene Mendes Fontes[9] https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3152-6649; Joizia Lima Cavalcante Rego[10] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0003-8650; Luiz Antonio Pio de Carvalho[11] https://orcid.org/0009-0008-4137-8807; Paulo Francisco Barbosa Sousa[12] https://orcid.org/0009-0009-9071-179X; Rickardo Léo Ramos Gomes[13] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6101-9571; Ronara Marques Ribeiro de Sousa[14] https://orcid.org/0009-0001-4227-4830; Roberto Augusto Caracas Neto[15] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8484-411X; Silvana Claudia de Lima Accioly[16] https://orcid.org/0009-0002-6988-7845; Wangler Luiz Silva Chaves[17] https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6781-8753.

[1] Master's degree in Administration and Controllership from UFC; [2] Master's student in Intellectual Property and Technology Transfer for Innovation at IFCE; [3] Postgraduate MBA In Renewable Energy Management – Fbuni/IEL; [4] Doctorate in Chemical Engineering from the Federal University of Ceará; [5] Postgraduate in MBA in Renewable Energy Management (IEL/FBUNI); [6] Doctoral Researcher in Intellectual Property and Innovation ACAD INPI – National Institute of Industrial Property; [7] Prof. Dr. in Agricultural Biotechnology (RENORBIO – UFC); [8] Ph.D. in Genetics, Conservation, and Evolutionary Biology National Institute of Amazonian Research, Manaus-AM, Brazil; [9] Postgraduate Degree In Criminal Law From UniAteneu University Center; [10] Master in Public Policy Evaluation – UFC; [11] Graduate in Architecture and Urban Planning from ESAMC (Santos); [12] Master of Economics from the Federal University of Ceará (UFC); [13] Doctorate in Biological Sciences - FICL; Master's in Phytotechnics - Federal University of Ceará; [14] Master's in Intellectual Property and Technology Transfer for Innovation from the Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of Ceará (IFCE); [15] Doctoral Student At The Academy Of The National Institute Of Industrial Property; [16] Specialist In Business Management With An Emphasis on The Electric Power Sector from UNIFOR; [17] Postgraduate MBA In Renewable Energy Management – Fbuni/IEL.
Corresponding Author: Rickardo Léo Ramos Gomes

ABSTRACT
The growing evolution of energy transformations demands new perspectives capable of integrating innovation, sustainability, and governance into a single analytical framework. In this context, the context architecture emerges as an interesting conceptual framework to understand and operationalize the complex web of interactions that shape both the energy transition and energy governance. This qualitative study employed a bibliographic review to analyze national and international scientific literature on the subject. The main objective was to examine context architecture as a vector of innovation and its contribution to the consolidation of the energy transition, considering the technological, social, and environmental challenges of the sector. The investigation focused on how this architecture can facilitate system integration, maximize resource efficiency, and strengthen mechanisms of energy governance, thereby increasing resilience in the face of global transformations in energy production

and consumption paradigms. The study reaffirms that context architecture provides a holistic and pragmatic perspective on the energy transition and governance, indicating pathways to make them tangible realities. It connects innovation, governance, and sustainability, reinforcing the development of energy systems that are more resilient and socially responsible.

Keywords: *Context architecture, energy transformations, energy transition, energy governance, vector of innovation, resource efficiency.*

Date of Submission: 04-10-2025 Date of acceptance: 14-10-2025

I. INTRODUCTION

The energy transition stands as one of the greatest challenges of the twenty-first century, requiring the conversion of traditional matrices based on fossil fuels into sustainable, intelligent, and decentralized systems. This transition involves not only the implementation of sustainable technologies but also the restructuring of governance frameworks, the incorporation of new practices within the industrial sector, and the redefinition of interactions among society, the environment, and innovation. In this context, context architecture emerges as a key component for integrating diverse systems and enabling more efficient and flexible management of energy networks. Its implementation allows for a rapid understanding of technological and social dynamics, fostering the development of an energy infrastructure grounded in innovation and sustainability. This understanding is reinforced by reports from organizations such as the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA, 2021) and the International Energy Agency (IEA, 2025), which provide guidelines and governance structures designed to support the transition toward resilient, low-carbon energy systems.

The growing complexity of energy systems, coupled with the rapid pace of technological change, requires management models that prioritize interoperability and resilience. Context architecture meets this need by combining, within a single framework, technical, economic, and environmental dimensions, thereby strengthening decision-making processes. When viewed through the lens of innovation, this approach enriches the understanding of how new technologies can facilitate a fair and effective energy transition, in alignment with global decarbonization and sustainable development goals. It is therefore essential to reflect on these interconnections to identify possible pathways for consolidating the energy transition and to understand the challenges inherent in its implementation across different scales and contexts.

The research underpinning this study employed a qualitative approach, deemed the most appropriate for understanding complex phenomena situated within their specific contexts (Silva et al., 2022). A bibliographic review was adopted as the methodological procedure, enabling the collection and analysis of theoretical contributions from both Brazilian and international authors concerning the relationship between innovation and energy transition. According to Ocaña-Fernández and Fuster-Guillén (2021), literature review is a method that allows the identification of trends and gaps in knowledge, serving as a foundation for well-grounded critical reflections. As noted by Silva et al. (2022), qualitative research is useful for interpreting processes and social meanings, while Valentim, Paiva Neto, and Bessa (2020) emphasize the importance of a careful selection of sources, which is crucial for maintaining scientific rigor and relevance.

The general objective of this study is to analyze context architecture as a vector of innovation and its contribution to consolidating the energy transition, considering the sector’s technological, social, and environmental challenges. Specifically, it aims to: (a) investigate how context architecture can structure and integrate complex energy systems, promoting greater efficiency and adaptability; (b) examine the role of innovative ideas and emerging technologies in strengthening context architecture aimed at the energy transition; and (c) analyze how the integrated application of innovation and context architecture can accelerate the consolidation of the energy transition toward a sustainable matrix.

The text is organized into four sections. The first presents the introduction and theoretical framework of the research. The second details the materials and methods employed in the study. The third section develops the theoretical foundation, organized into subsections addressing context architecture, technological innovation, and the challenges of the energy transition. Finally, the fourth section presents the concluding remarks, summarizing the main analyses and reflections resulting from the study.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

This research is relevant in seeking to understand how context architecture can act as a catalyst for innovation, fostering the energy transition toward sustainable and integrated models. This topic presents interdisciplinary challenges that transcend technology, encompassing social, environmental, and political dimensions. By exploring the relationships among innovation, systemic integration, and sustainability, the study contributes to the current debate on how intelligent architectures can support a more balanced and resilient energy future (Silva et al., 2022).

The choice of a qualitative approach stems from its suitability for investigating complex and interconnected phenomena that require situated interpretations and a deeper understanding of interactions among variables that cannot be directly measured. As Silva et al. (2022) point out, qualitative investigations enable the analysis of meanings, perceptions, and social constructions, which is essential for understanding the dynamics that drive the transformation of energy systems. By emphasizing critical analysis and interpretation, this approach makes it possible to examine how technological and conceptual innovations connect within the broader context of the energy transition.

The methodological approach employed was a bibliographic review, consisting of the collection and analysis of information and perspectives from various authors on the same subject. According to Ocaña-Fernández and Fuster-Guillén (2021), this type of review serves as a methodological tool for organizing existing knowledge and creating opportunities for new analyses. Scientific articles, dissertations, and theses—both Brazilian and international—were consulted and selected based on the relevance and timeliness of their contributions. As noted by Valentim, Paiva Neto, and Bessa (2020), the careful selection of sources enhances the credibility of results and strengthens the scientific foundation of the study. Thus, the combination of a qualitative approach and bibliographic review ensures a critical and meticulous analysis aligned with the complexity of the topic under investigation.

III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

3.1 Context Architecture as a Framework for Systemic Integration, Resource Optimization, and Resilience Strengthening in the Energy Transition

The context architecture functions as a framework that integrates data, rules, and services across all layers of the energy system. By connecting sensors, historical databases, predictive models, and local controllers, the architecture enables automated and coordinated decision-making, often executed at the network edge. According to Berjawi (2021), a *system-of-systems* approach allows for a clearer visualization of interfaces and interdependencies among different energy subsystems, facilitating the integration of multiple vectors such as electricity, heat, and storage. Nascimento and Oliveira (2021) emphasize that, to be considered smart, cities must adopt architectures that are decentralized and fault-tolerant; this concept applies directly to low-voltage grids, which must continue operating even when part of the system experiences disruptions.

When applying context architecture to resource optimization, control shifts from a reactive mode to scenario-guided management. Rather than merely correcting deviations, the framework analyzes consumption profiles, distributed generation availability, and network constraints to propose reallocations and near real-time adjustments. Santos (2025) discusses *context-aware* mechanisms that enable automatic decision-making in industrial environments; within the electric sector, this results in reduced waste and more efficient asset allocation. Jannuzzi (2024) addresses Brazil's energy transition, suggesting that local adaptations can accelerate the adoption of renewable sources without requiring a complete overhaul of existing infrastructure.

Table 1 — Elements of Context Architecture Applied to the Energy Transition

Analyzed Dimension	Description	Contributions to the Energy Transition	Sources
Systemic Integration	The context architecture functions as a framework that connects different energy subsystems, enabling interoperability among sources, networks, and devices.	Facilitates data exchange among heterogeneous components and supports the integration of generation, transmission, and consumption.	Berjawi (2021); Nascimento & Oliveira (2021)
Resource Optimization	Through contextual recognition and adaptive models, the system identifies usage patterns and adjusts operational parameters in real time.	Reduces waste, improves energy efficiency, and supports decentralized resource management.	Santos (2025); Jannuzzi (2024)
System Resilience	The architecture distributes intelligence across network nodes, allowing rapid and coordinated responses to failures or external variations.	Ensures operational continuity under critical conditions and strengthens the reliability of the electrical infrastructure.	Berjawi (2021); Nascimento & Oliveira (2021)
Technological Aspects	Requires interoperability between legacy equipment and new devices	Promotes compatibility and efficient communication among	Jannuzzi (2024); Santos (2025)

Analyzed Dimension	Description	Contributions to the Energy Transition	Sources
	through the use of standardized ontologies and protocols.	distinct systems, reducing update costs.	
Social and Environmental Aspects	Allows energy decisions to consider local contexts, socioeconomic conditions, and environmental impact.	Expands social participation and contributes to energy justice and the sustainability of transition policies.	Santos et al. (2024); Jannuzzi (2024)

Source: Adaptado de Berjawi (2021); Jannuzzi (2024); Nascimento & Oliveira (2021); Santos (2025); Santos et al. (2024).

Resilience occurs when the architecture is capable of identifying, isolating, and neutralizing the effects of failures before they spread across the network. This involves contextual recognition at multiple scales, ranging from a local transformer to coordination among substations. Berjawi (2021) argues that, to assess interdependent behaviors, it is essential to use models that operate across various levels; by integrating such models, it becomes possible to design contingency strategies that align local interventions with collective actions. Furthermore, Nascimento and Oliveira (2021) indicate that distributing functions across different machines, rather than concentrating them within a single architecture, increases fault tolerance by avoiding single points of failure and ensuring that essential operational systems remain active.

From a technological standpoint, context architecture requires interoperability standards, well-defined interfaces, and secure communication protocols. Jannuzzi (2024) highlights the importance of addressing regulatory challenges and the urgency of aligning sectoral policies with technical innovations, particularly to enable investments in advanced metering and decentralized control. The use of ontologies and standardized information models simplifies integration between manufacturers and operators, reducing engineering workload when connecting legacy devices with new intelligent elements.

From social and environmental perspectives, context architecture can enhance equity of access and mitigate impacts by enabling localized responses tailored to each community's profile. According to Santos et al. (2024), the renewability of the energy matrix and its global implications demand frameworks capable of balancing efficiency with distributive justice. Through transparency and participation, the architecture transforms data into services that respond to local priorities while supporting low-carbon objectives. Therefore, context architecture is not merely a technical tool but also an integrative element connecting technology, society, and the environment.

3.2 Technological Innovation and Its Relationship with Context Architecture in Renewable Energy

Technological innovation is at the core of the ongoing transformation of the current energy sector. It reshapes production chains, alters consumption habits, and fosters interaction between science, technology, and society. The dynamics within the renewable energy sector are largely shaped by the so-called context architecture, which refers to the interplay among technical infrastructure, public policies, organizational culture, and social values that guide technological development. As noted by Cardoso, Camilo, and Picolo (2024), innovation in the electricity sector results from a coordinated, collective effort, wherein various technological, regulatory, and socioeconomic variables interconnect to drive the energy transition. This collaboration amplifies the potential of emerging technologies and promotes the development of solutions that prioritize efficiency, decentralization, and sustainability.

As demonstrated by the research of Anderloni et al. (2021), the adoption of new technologies, such as photovoltaic energy, occurs within a complex sociotechnical context, where institutional actors, local communities, and companies interact interdependently. This interaction positions context architecture as an evolving ecosystem that supports both incremental and disruptive innovations. Solar energy, in particular, is not limited to advances in panels or cost reductions; effective integration with public policies, distribution networks, and sustainable consumption practices is essential. Therefore, technological innovation is both the outcome and the driver of cultural and structural changes that enable the energy transition.

Recent literature also indicates that innovations in renewable energy extend beyond technical aspects. As Fernandes et al. (2024) highlight, emerging technologies should be regarded as sustainable instruments that induce social and behavioral transformations. This perspective underscores the need for incentive policies, professional training, and dissemination of technical-scientific knowledge to ensure that technological innovation meets environmental and social requirements. From this standpoint, context architecture functions as a link between scientific progress and its real-world implementation, ensuring that innovations exert a positive impact on the energy transition and the strengthening of more resilient systems.

D'Ambrosio, Losasso, and Tersigni (2021) argue that innovation in renewable energies, particularly concerning photovoltaic components integrated into building construction (BIPV), requires a systemic and interdisciplinary approach. For these technologies to proliferate, regulatory gaps must be addressed, financial incentives created, and urban planning and management practices reconfigured. This perspective illustrates how context architecture acts as a driver of innovation, integrating technical, environmental, and social dimensions around shared objectives. In this context, buildings transition from being mere energy consumers to actively participating in energy production and management networks, representing one of the most tangible manifestations of the ongoing transition.

According to Gonsales (2020), in Brazil, the trajectory of renewable energy innovation has been marked by notable advances, especially in wind and solar energy, despite the persistent institutional and economic barriers. Patent and scientific publication surveys suggest a growing research culture oriented toward sustainability but also indicate the need for greater integration among universities, companies, and government. It is the intersection of knowledge, technology, and social action that forms the core of context architecture. Therefore, understanding and strengthening this architecture is essential for guiding the emergence of technological innovations that effectively address contemporary environmental and social challenges.

3.3 Energy Transition and the Challenges of Consolidation through Innovative Approaches and Systemic Architectures

The energy transition is not merely about adopting clean technologies; it requires a cohesive network of decisions, policies, and technical instruments capable of addressing the sector's complexities. According to Corrêa (2021), in Brazil, examples in wind and photovoltaic solar energy illustrate how the combination of technological innovation and public policies shapes the pace and scope of the transition. These systemic architectures enable the connection of various actors, including governments, companies, and local communities, establishing mechanisms that integrate investments, regulations, and consumption habits. It is essential that these technological advancements are integrated to achieve tangible results in energy sustainability.

New approaches are crucial to overcoming institutional and socioeconomic barriers. As noted by Hamatsu and Souza (2024), the Ministry of Science and Technology and Finep play a strategic role in coordinating programs that promote applied research and the implementation of new technologies in the energy sector. The collaborative work of these entities minimizes system fragmentation and enables efficient use of resources, while also enhancing technical capacity and social acceptance of the proposed changes. Systemic architectures, therefore, provide the necessary support for the cohesive integration of policies, technologies, and operational practices.

Table 2 – Innovative Approaches and Systemic Architectures in the Consolidation of the Energy Transition

Dimension	Description	Contributions to the Consolidation of the Energy Transition	Sources
Integration of Policies and Technologies	Coordinates government programs, economic incentives, and the implementation of new technologies.	Reduces sector fragmentation, guides investments, and accelerates the adoption of renewable energies.	Corrêa (2021); Hamatsu & Souza (2024)
Systemic Architectures	Structure that connects agents, processes, and information flows within the energy sector.	Facilitates rapid responses to failures, enables coordination among different subsystems, and strengthens resilience.	Hamatsu & Souza (2024); Vasconcelos et al. (2025)
Climate Governance	Integrates strategic decisions with continuous monitoring of environmental impacts.	Supports long-term planning, risk management, and prioritization of sustainable investments.	Vasconcelos et al. (2025)
Energy Justice and Equity	Considers equitable distribution of benefits, costs, and access to energy resources.	Promotes inclusive and balanced policies, aligning technical efficiency with social responsibility.	Costabile et al. (2025)
Applied Innovation	Introduction of new technological and operational solutions in the energy sector.	Accelerates the implementation of renewable energies and strengthens the systemic integration of the sector.	Corrêa (2021); Hamatsu & Souza (2024)

Source: Corrêa (2021); Costabile et al. (2025); Hamatsu & Souza (2024); Vasconcelos et al. (2025)

It is also through the adoption of systemic approaches that climate governance is directly enhanced. According to Vasconcelos, Vasconcelos, and Moraes (2025), organizational structures that employ the energy transition as a decision-making tool are capable of assessing risks, prioritizing investments, and continuously monitoring impacts. Integrating technical aspects into the strategic choices of the sector strengthens its resilience and creates opportunities to consolidate an energy matrix that is sustainable in the long term. From this perspective, contextual architecture serves as a facilitating agent between knowledge, emerging technologies, and regulatory practices, enabling agile and coordinated responses to unexpected situations. Energy justice is also an integral part of these consolidation processes.

Costabile, Simões, and Costa (2025) discuss Law 14.299 of 2022 and argue that innovations must be not only efficient and productive but also equitable in terms of access to resources and the benefits of the transition. Systemic architecture provides the necessary resources to plan, implement, and evaluate policies that harmonize economic, social, and environmental interests. This perspective enriches the concept of the energy transition, highlighting it as a process that encompasses multiple dimensions, where innovation and systemic integration complement each other in the pursuit of a matrix that is both sustainable and socially responsible.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

All the proposed objectives were achieved by the research, which provides an integrated reflection on how contextual architecture can serve as a vector of innovation in consolidating the energy transition. The articulation among systemic structures, technological innovation, and sustainability policies represents a feasible and necessary path to enhance the resilience and efficiency of transforming energy systems, as evidenced in the analysis.

In the first section of the theoretical framework, it was demonstrated that contextual architecture functions as an integrative framework connecting diverse energy matrices and productive sectors in a systemic manner. This perspective enables the conscious use of resources and helps maintain a balance between efficiency and sustainability. Such an approach is crucial for strengthening energy resilience, as it organizes interdependent information flows and processes, increasing the capacity to respond to changes in demand and environmental conditions.

In the second section, the interdependence between technological innovation and contextual architecture in renewable energies was emphasized. Emerging technologies, such as the digitalization of systems, the application of artificial intelligence, and intelligent management systems, were highlighted as tools that expand opportunities for connecting energy production, storage, and consumption. This dynamic relationship is fundamental for the development of energy ecosystems that are more autonomous, efficient, and aligned with decarbonization goals.

In the third section, the discussion on the energy transition indicated that its strengthening does not rely solely on technical innovations but also on new modes of operation and systemic architectures that support structural transformations. It was found that the implementation of integrated public policies, planned investments, and collaboration among diverse social and institutional actors is essential for the continuity and effectiveness of transition initiatives. Therefore, contextual architecture establishes itself as a methodological foundation for guiding this transformation.

Finally, the study reiterates that contextual architecture provides a comprehensive and practical perspective for understanding and implementing the energy transition. It enables the interconnection of innovation, governance, and sustainability, contributing to the strengthening of energy systems that are more resilient and socially responsible.

Future investigations should aim to develop comparative models among various contextual architectures in regional energy transitions, particularly in developing countries. Research combining efficiency, environmental impact, and technological adaptation could enhance the understanding of the limits and potential of this strategy. Moreover, the empirical application of this framework in renewable energy projects may enrich both public policy formulation and global energy planning.

REFERENCES

[1] Anderloni, N. V., et al. (2021). Sociotechnical analysis of technological innovation proposed by photovoltaic energy. *Brazilian Journal of Development, 7*(2), 11704–11711. ISSN: 2525-8761.

[2] Berjawi, A. E. H. (2021). *A system-of-systems architecture methodology to evaluate energy systems integration as a pathway for the energy transition* (Doctoral dissertation, Newcastle University). Retrieved from https://theses.ncl.ac.uk/jspui/bitstream/10443/5593/1/Berjawi%20AEH%202021%20ethesis.pdf

[3] Cardoso, J. V. M., Camilo, S. P. O., & Picolo, J. D. (2024). Technological innovations in the electric sector: Systematic review and metasynthesis. *Revista de Gestão e Secretariado, 15*(7), e4028. https://doi.org/10.7769/gesec.v15i7.4028

[4] Corrêa, L. (2021). *Energy transition, innovation policies, and economic development: An analysis of wind and photovoltaic solar initiatives in Brazil* (Master's thesis, Federal University of Santa Catarina).

[5] Costabile, F. O., Simões, A. F., & Costa, H. K. de M. (2025). Just energy transition in Brazil: Critical analysis of Law 14.299 of 2022 based on the analytical framework proposed by McCauley and Heffron for just transitions. *Sociedade e Território, 37*(1). e-ISSN: 2177-8396. https://doi.org/10.21680/2177-8396.2025v37n1ID37865

[6] D'Ambrosio, V., Losasso, M., & Tersigni, E. (2021). Towards the energy transition of the building stock with BIPV: Innovations, gaps, and potential steps for widespread use of multifunctional PV components in the building envelope. *Sustainability, 13*(22), 12609.

[7] Fernandes, A. B., et al. (2024). Emerging technologies and sustainability: Trends and future perspectives. *Revista PPC – Políticas Públicas e Cidades, 13*(1), 1–20.

[8] Gonsales, S. C. (2020). Evolution of innovation in renewable energies in Brazil: An analysis based on patents and scientific publications of wind and solar energy cases (Master's thesis, Municipal University of São Caetano do Sul).

[9] Hamatsu, N. K. H., & Souza, E. R. de. (2024). Energy transition, new industry in Brazil, and the role of the Ministry of Science and Technology and Finep. *Princípios, 43*(170), 52–79. ISSN: 2675-6609. https://doi.org/10.14295/principios.2675-6609.2024.170.003

[10] IEA – International Energy Agency. (2025). *The state of energy innovation*. Paris, France: IEA. Retrieved October 11, 2025, from https://www.iea.org/reports/the-state-of-energy-innovation

[11] IRENA – International Renewable Energy Agency. (2021). *World energy transitions outlook 2021*. Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates: IRENA.

[12] Jannuzzi, G. de M. (2024). Energy transition in Brazil. *Ciência & Cultura, 76*(3). ISSN: 2317-6660. http://dx.doi.org/10.5935/2317-6660.20240063

[13] Nascimento, L. V. do, & Oliveira, J. P. M. de. (2021). Decentralized, distributed, and fault-tolerant context recognition architectures for smart cities: A systematic mapping. *SBC Reviews on Computer Science (ROCS), 1*(1).

[14] Ocaña-Fernández, Y., & Fuster-Guillén, D. (2021). Bibliographic review as a research methodology. *Revista Tempos e Espaços em Educação, 14*(33), e15614. https://doi.org/10.20952/revtee.v14i33.15614

[15] Santos, A. (2025). Context-aware systems architecture in Industry 4.0. *Applied Sciences, 15*(11), 5863.

[16] Santos, R. R. dos, Leite, C. B., Almeida, M., & Santos, E. P. C. dos. (2024). Challenges of the energy transition: The renewability of the electric matrix in a global context and its implications. *Revista Brasileira de Avaliação, 13*(2spe), e131824. ISSN: 2763-5775. https://doi.org/10.4322/rbaval202412018

[17] Silva, D. C. da, et al. (2022). Characteristics of qualitative research: A study of theses from a graduate program in education. *EDUR • Educação em Revista, 38*, e26895. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0102-469826895

[18] Valentim, D. Y. de Q., Paiva Neto, J. E. de, & Bessa, J. C. R. (2020). Use of research sources in writing scientific articles by experienced researchers. *Entrepalavras, 10*(2), e1833, 1–18. http://dx.doi.org/10.22168/2237-6321-21833

[19] Vasconcelos, P. E. A., Vasconcelos, P. S., & Moraes, G. P. de. (2025). Energy transition as a decision-making tool in climate governance. *Revista Interdisciplinar de Direito, 23*(1), e20252305. https://doi.org/10.24859/RID.2025v23n1.1718