Biosorption of Azure Dye with Sunflower Seed Hull: Estimation of Equilibrium, Thermodynamic and Kinetic Parameters
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Abstract:- Biosorption potential of sunflower seed hull to remove reactive textile dye contaminated solutions was the purpose of this investigation. Azure A chloride dye was chosen as a model for this investigation. Pretreatment, initial pH, biomass dosage, contact time, initial dye concentration and temperature were evaluated in batch mode studies. Preliminary results indicate that acid and base pretreatment affected the dye biosorption properties of the milled hull. Particles retained on the ASTM sieves 250 µm and 425 µm had the highest biosorption capacity. The optimum pH for azure dye biosorption was 6.0, and the values of percent dye biosorbed and biosorption capacity increased with contact time and dosage of dried sunflower seed hull (DSSH). Batch equilibrium data obtained at different temperatures (22.5, 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45°C) were modeled by Freundlich, Langmuir and Dubinin-Radushkevich (D–R) isotherms. Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms model fitted the equilibrium data, at all studied temperatures. The highest monolayer biosorption capacity was found to be 22.27 mg g⁻¹ dry biomass at 40°C. The changes in Gibbs free energy (ΔG°), enthalpy (ΔH°) and entropy (ΔS°) of biosorption were also evaluated for the biosorption of Azure dye onto DSSH. The results indicate that the biosorption was spontaneous and exothermic. The kinetic properties were studied; the data fitted the first and pseudo second order model at room temperature (22.5°C). The pseudo-second-order kinetic model was observed to provide the best correlation of the experimental data among the kinetic models studied. The biosorbent–dye interaction mechanisms were investigated using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy.

Keywords:- Biosorption, Azure dye, pseudo-second order kinetics, Isotherms, dried sunflower seed hull

I. INTRODUCTION

The contamination of the industrial waters with the organic based chemicals has created a serious environmental problem. Synthetic dyes, one group of organic pollutants, are extensively used in several industries such as textile, paper, printing and dye houses. The effluents from these industries are highly colored and discharge of dye containing effluents into the natural water bodies can pose hazardous effects on the living systems because of carcinogenic, mutagenic, allergic and toxic nature of dyes. The presence of very small amounts of dyes in water (less than 1 mg dm⁻³ for some dyes) is highly visible and undesirable. The conventional decolorization methods of dye bearing wastewaters involve the combination of physical and chemical processes such as precipitation, sedimentation, ultrafiltration, flotation, color irradiation, ozonation and coagulation. However the application of the above mentioned technologies is sometimes restricted due to technical and economic constraints. Also synthetic dyes have a complex aromatic molecular structure, which makes them stable and resistant to biological degradation. Adsorption is an effective alternative process for the treatment of contaminated wastewater. Currently, activated carbon is the most popular and extensively used commercial biosorbent material due to its high adsorption capacity, surface area and degree of surface reactivity as well as micro porous structures. But it shows some disadvantages such as high operating costs and regeneration problems [1]. Therefore, in the recent years considerable amount of researches have been done on the development of effective, low cost and easily available alternative biosorbents. Different agricultural biomasses such as tree fern [2], peat and rice husk [3,4], peanut hull [5,6], sugarcane dust [7] , apple pomace and wheat straw [8,9], bark [10], palm kernel fibre [11], banana peel and orange peel [12,13], coir pith [14], linseed cake [15] and sawdust [16-18] have been previously tried for the removal of different types of dyes, but high effective and more economical biosorbent materials are still needed.

The sunflower plant is a native of North America. It was grown by the Indians for food in North Carolina before 1600 and by New England colonists for hair oil as early as 1615 [19]. The rising prominence of sunflower oil in world edible oil markets has stimulated increased interest in expanded U.S production for last decades. U.S acreage of sunflower planting has expanded rapidly in the 1970's, reaching a peak at 5.5 million acres. The U.S sunflower production has declined in recent years. Currently U.S planted sunflower over 1.8 million acres in 2012 and approximately 1 million metric tons of sunflower seed were produced in 2011. 41% and 32.7% of total sunflower planted acres were grown in the North Dakota and South Dakota in 2012.
respectively [20]. Compared with soybean oil which currently dominates the US edible oils market, sunflower seed oil has a higher content of polyunsaturated fatty acids [19]. The world production of sunflower seed is 31.1 MT with the USSR being the largest producer producing 6.3MT, Ukraine 4.7MT, Argentina 3.7MT , China 1.9MT, India 1.9MT, USA 1.8MT [21]. The U.S produced around 6% of the world’s sunflower production and 85.1 % of total U.S sunflower production is for vegetable oils. In recent years, approximately 12 million acres of sunflowers have been grown in the world annually [22]. The hull accounts for 22 to 28% of the weight of sunflower seed and becomes a byproduct of the seed crushing operation. Large-seed varieties have higher proportion of hull than small-seed varieties. The most promising use for sunflower seed hulls appears to be as roughage ingredient for livestock feed. Sunflower hulls make coarse roughage, high in fiber but suitable for use in ruminant rations. Sunflower hull contains 11.5% moisture, 3.5% protein 3.4% fat, and 22.1 % fiber [19].

The objectives of this study were to investigate the potential use of sunflower seed hull, as an effective biosorbsent for the removal of dye from aqueous solutions and study its thermodynamic and kinetic properties. There has been no reported study to date in this field on the use of sunflower seed hull as a biosorbent.

II. MATERIAL and METHODS

II.1 Preparation of Sunflower seed Hull (SSH)

A 120g packet of Sunflower seeds purchased from a local grocery was dehulled and the hull was milled in a coffee grinder. The milled hull was then separated into different particle sizes using with ASTM standard sieves with mesh sizes 60 (250µm aperture), 40 (425µm aperture) and 20 (850µm aperture). The fraction of the sunflower seed which is hull was determined by dehulling a known weight of seed and weighing the hull separated.

The effect of acid and base treatment was studied using the fraction retained on the 40 mesh sieve. Three grams each of milled hull was soaked overnight in either 250 mL of 0.05M hydrochloric acid (HCl) or 250mL of 0.05M sodium hydroxide (NaOH). The pHs of the supernatants were 1.4 and 12.7 respectively. The supernatant was removed and each fraction was washed thrice with 250 mL distilled water (dH₂O). The pH of the acid treated sunflower seed hull (SSH) was then adjusted to pH 5.0 with drops of 1M NaOH while the base treated SSH was adjusted to pH 5.5 with drops of 1 M HCl. The wet SSH was dried overnight at 70°C in a forced air drying oven to constant weight. The dried sunflower seed hull (DSSH) was used in the adsorption characteristics studies.

II.2 Preparation of Azure Dye solution

Azure dye (C₃₄H₂₇N₃SCl; MW 291.5 g mol⁻¹) shown in figure 1 was obtained from Sigma Co., St Louis, MO. The dye showed a maximum absorbance at wavelength 600 nm. Stock dye solution (1 mM) was prepared by dissolving 291.5mg of Azure dye in dH₂O and other concentrations were prepared by dilution of the stock solution. All the chemicals used in this study were in analytical grade.

II.3 Dye biosorption experiments

Batch biosorption experiments were performed in 50 mL plastic tubes containing 20 mL dye solution with vortex mixing. Aliquot of 1mL were withdrawn every 15min for absorbance measurement at 600nm using a GENESYS 5 Scanning Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Madison, WI, USA) to determine the concentration of dye. The concentration of azure dye was determined from a calibration plot of absorbance at 600nm versus concentration. The calibration plot was linear up to an Azure dye concentration of 1mM. In order to determine the effective biosorption conditions, experiments were conducted with both acid and base treated SSH, different particle seize SSH, at different pH values, and initial dye concentrations. To determine the effect of concentration of dye on adsorption capacity, 0.2g of DSSH was weighed into the 50mL plastic tubes and 10 mL solution of 0.05 M acetate buffer pH 6.0 to each tube followed by 10 mL of different concentrations ( 0.05-0.1mM) of Azure dye stock solutions. To determine the effect of initial pH on biosorption characteristics of DSSH,0.2 g of DSSH was weighed into 50 mL plastic tubes and 20 mL of 0.1mM solution of Azure dye solution whose pH had been adjusted with different concentrations of HCL and NaOH. To determine the effect of particle size of DSSH on adsorption of azure dye, 0.5 g of DSSH of different particle sizes (<250µm, 250 µm -425 µm , 425 µm -850 µm ,>850 µm ) was weighed into 50 mL plastic tubes and to each tube was added 20 mL of 0.05 mM solution of Azure dye and vortex mixed every ten minutes. All experiments were conducted at room temperature 22.5 °C in triplicates. The amount of Azure dye biosorbed per gram of DSSH was calculated using the following equation (1):

\[ q = \left( C_o - C_e \right) \frac{V}{M} \]  

(1)
Where \( q \) is the biosorption of Azure dye per gram of DSSH (mg g\(^{-1}\)); \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration of Azure dye (mg L\(^{-1}\)) and \( C_t \) is the concentration at time \( t \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)); \( V \) is the total volume of the suspension (L); \( M \) is the dry weight (mass) of DSSH (g). The percent dye biosorbed (PDB) from aqueous solution by DSSH was calculated using equation (2):

\[
PDB = \left[ 1 - \frac{C_o}{C_t} \right] \times 100\% \quad \text{.................................................. (2)}
\]

Where \( C_t \) is the Azure dye concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)) at time \( t \) and \( C_o \) is the initial dye concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)).

### II.3. Kinetic modeling

The kinetics of Azure dye biosorption on DSSH was analyzed using three kinetic models: the pseudo-first order and pseudo second order and intraparticle diffusion model \([6,23]\). The pseudo first order kinetics equation is generally expressed as:

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = k_1[q_e - q_t] \quad \text{.................................................. (3)}
\]

where \( q_e \) is the biosorption capacity of Azure dye on DSSH at equilibrium determined from experimental data (mg g\(^{-1}\)); \( q_t \) is the biosorption capacity of Azure dye by DSSH at a particular time (mg g\(^{-1}\)); \( k_1 \) is the pseudo-first order rate constant (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)). After integration and applying boundary conditions \( t=0 \) and \( t=t \), the integrated form of equation (3) becomes

\[
Ln[q_e - q_t] = Ln[q_e] - k_1t \quad \text{.................................................. (4)}
\]

From the plot of \( \text{Ln}(q_e - q_t) \) versus time \( (t) \), \( k_1 \) and \( q_e \) can be determined from the slope and intercept respectively. The pseudo second-order kinetics equation is expressed as

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = k_2[q_e - q_t]^2 \quad \text{.................................................. (5)}
\]

where \( q_e \) is the biosorption capacity of Azure dye by DSSH at equilibrium determined from experimental data (mg g\(^{-1}\)); \( q_t \) is the biosorption capacity of Azure dye by DSSH at a particular time (mg g\(^{-1}\)); \( k_2 \) is the pseudo-second order rate constant (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)). After integration and applying boundary conditions \( t=0 \) and \( t=t \), the integrated form of equation (5) becomes

\[
\frac{1}{[q_e - q_t]} = \frac{1}{q_e} + k_2t \quad \text{.................................................. (6)}
\]

Equation (6) can be rearranged to obtain a linear form

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2q_e} + \frac{t}{q_e} \quad \text{.................................................. (7)}
\]

where \( h \) (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) can be regarded as the initial sorption rate as \( q_e \) t\(^{-1} \), hence

\[
h = k_2q_e^2 \quad \text{.................................................. (8)}
\]

Equation (7) then becomes

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{h} + \frac{t}{q_e} \quad \text{.................................................. (9)}
\]

If the pseudo-second order kinetics is applicable to the experimental data, the plot of \( t/q_t \) versus \( t \) of equation (9) should give a straight line, from which \( h \) and \( k_2 \) (the rate constant) can be determined from the slope and intercept of the plot. The results are also analyzed in terms of intraparticle diffusion model to investigate whether the intraparticle diffusion is the rate controlling step in biosorption of Azure dye onto DSSH biomass. The intraparticle diffusion equation is expressed as:

\[
q_t = K_{id}t^{0.5} + c \quad \text{.................................................. (10)}
\]

where \( K_{id} \) is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{0.5}\)) and \( c \) is the intercept.

Statistical analyses (regression relationship, t-test for significance of slope and intercept values, and t-test for average values) were performed using Microsoft Excel 2007.

### III. RESULT and DISCUSSION

#### III.1 Effect of treatment on biosorption capacity of DSSH

Figure 2 shows a plot of the biosorption capacity of DSSH after 120 minute incubation. Both the acid and base treated DSSH had a higher biosorption capacity than the non-treated DSSH. Both the acid and base treated DSSH were statistically different (P<0.05) from the non-treated DSSH. Though, the base treated DSSH...
III.2 Effect of particle size

The particle size of the biosorbent has a significant influence on the kinetics of adsorption. It furnishes important information of achieving optimum utilization of adsorbent and on the nature of breakthrough curves. Rates of adsorption on a solid surface are expected to vary with available surface area for a contact adsorbent mass with the particle size. The adsorption capacity is directly proportional to the particle diameter for nonporous adsorbents. The presence of a large number of smaller particles provides the adsorption system with a greater surface area available for dye removal [24]. The base treated DSSH was fractionated into different particle sizes and the biosorption capacity of the different sizes were determined. Figure 3 shows the plot of the biosorption capacity after 120 minute incubation. The fraction retained by the 60 mesh sieve with particle size greater than 250μm but less than 425 μm had the highest biosorption capacity and was used in subsequent experiments. The particle size less than 250μm was powdery. Previous studies have also shown that as the particle size decreases the biosorption capacity increases [24,25].

III.3 Effect of time on biosorption

The rate of biosorption is important for designing batch biosorption experiments. Therefore, the effect of contact time on the biosorption of Cu(II) was investigated. In addition the effect of time on adsorption of dye on biomass has been reported in literature [26]. Figure 4 shows the effect of time on PDB which increased considerably until the contact time reached 120 minutes. Further increase in contact time did not enhance the biosorption, so, the optimum contact time was selected as 120 min for further experiments.

III.4. Effect of pH

Previous studies in the literature have shown that solution pH is an important parameter affecting the biosorption process [1,5,27]. Further, pH influences surface properties of the biosorbent by way of functional groups dissociation and also surface charges [28]. Figure 5 shows the variation of the biosorption capacity after 120 minutes with different pH values. As the pH increased from 3.5 to 6.0 the biosorption capacity increased after which it decreased at pH 6.5. The PDB on the other hand increased as the pH increased for all pH values. The pH optimum for biosorption of Azure dye by DSSH was around pH 6.0. The maximum dye uptake capacity of DSSH was found to be 2.15mg g⁻¹ at a pH value of 6.3. The biosorbent surface has many different functional groups and the net charge on the biosorbent surface is pH-dependent. Therefore, the pH of the medium is an important environmental parameter for dye removal from the aqueous medium [1]. It is well-known that pH can affect protonation of functional groups (i.e. carboxyl, phosphate and amino groups) in the biomass, as well as the chemistry of the dye (i.e. its solubility) [6].

III.4 Effect of Dye concentration

Figure 6 shows the effect of dye concentration on PDB and biosorption capacity at pH 6.0. The maximum PDB occurs at a dye concentration of 20mg L⁻¹ while the biosorption capacity (BC) increased linearly with concentration. This was probably due to the increasing driving force in the concentration gradient and increasing electrostatic interactions between surface sites and dye [29]. The linear relationship was fitted to a straight line BC = 0.09C₀, with a correlation coefficient of 0.99, where C₀ is the initial dye concentration in mg L⁻¹.

III.5 Effect of biomass dosage

The number of sites available for biosorption depends upon the amount of the adsorbent. The increase in the biosorption percentage with increase in biomass dosage is due to increase in active sites on the adsorbent and thus making easier penetration of the dye to the sorption sites [28]. Figure 7 shows the plot of the PDB versus biomass dosage. As it can be seen from this figure, an increase in the biomass concentration from 2.76 to 25.10 g L⁻¹ the PDB increased from 47.0 % to 86.0 % after 120 minutes incubation which is the maximum value, whereas the biosorption capacity of the DSSH decreased from 1.27 mg g⁻¹ to 0.24 mg g⁻¹. A decrease in the biosorption capacity at higher biomass concentration may be attributed to overlapping or partial aggregation of biosorption sites on the biosorbent surface. This fact results in a decrease in effective surface area of the biosorbent available to the dye [1,27,30]. Further increase in the biosorbent concentration up to 31.0 g L did not significantly change the PDB. This is due to the binding of almost all dye ions to biosorbent surface and the
establishment of equilibrium between the dye molecules on the biosorbent and in the solution [27,31, 32]. Increasing the biosorbent dosage caused an increase in the biomass surface area and in the number of potential binding sites [6].

### III.8 Biosorption Isotherms

The data obtained from biosorption experiments are generally represented by equilibrium isotherms. These provide more important parameters for designing and optimizing of the biosorption system. Different isotherm models can be used to determine the biosorption characteristics of a biosorbent. In the present study, the Azure dye biosorption was analyzed by three different models Langmuir, Freundlich and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R). The data were fitted and the calculated isotherm constants are presented in Table 1. The fundamental assumption of the Langmuir isotherm model is that biosorption takes place at specific sites within the biosorbent. Once a biosorbate occupies a binding site, no further biosorption occurs at this site. In the other words Langmuir model assumes that biosorbed layer is one molecule thickness (monolayer biosorption) [33].

\[
q_e = \frac{q_{\text{max}}K_mC_e}{1 + K_mC_e} \tag{11}
\]

where \(q_e\) is the equilibrium biosorption capacity of Azure dye (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(C_e\) is the equilibrium Azure dye concentration in the solution (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \(q_{\text{max}}\) is the monolayer biosorption capacity of the biosorbent (mg L\(^{-1}\)), and \(K_m\) is the Langmuir constant (L mg\(^{-1}\)) and is related to the free energy of biosorption. The linearized form of the Langmuir equation is given below:

\[
\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_{\text{max}}} + \left(\frac{1}{q_{\text{max}}K_mC_e}\right) \tag{12}
\]

The effect of isotherm shape has been discussed [34] with a view to predict whether a biosorption system is favorable or unfavorable. The essential feature of the Langmuir isotherm can be expressed by means of \(R_L\), a dimensionless constant referred to as separation factor or equilibrium parameter. \(R_L\) is calculated by using equation (13).

\[
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_mC_e} \tag{13}
\]

where \(C_o\) is the initial Azure dye concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)). The value of \(R_L\) calculated using the above equation is incorporated in Table 1. If the \(R_L\) values lie between 0 and 1, the biosorption process is considered to be favorable [34]. Values of \(K_m\) and \(q_{\text{max}}\) are obtained from the intercept and slope of the linear plots at various temperature in Figure 8 and are represented in Table 1. The \(R_L\) values obtained in this study were in the range 0.049–0.449, indicating that the biosorption process is favorable. The Freundlich isotherm is an empirical equation assuming that the adsorption process becomes on heterogeneous surfaces and adsorption capacity is related to the concentration of Azure dye at equilibrium. The Freundlich isotherm equation is generally expressed as follows [35]:

\[
q_e = K_F C_e^\frac{1}{n} \tag{14}
\]

The linearized form is:

\[
\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e \tag{15}
\]

where \(q_e\) is the equilibrium Azure dye concentration on the biomass (mg g\(^{-1}\)); \(C_e\) is the equilibrium dye concentration in the solution (mg L\(^{-1}\)); \(K_F\) (L mg\(^{-1}\)) and \(n\) (dimensionless Freundlich isotherm constant being indicative of the extent of the biosorption and the degree of nonlinearity between solution concentration and biosorption, respectively). Values of \(K_F\) and \(n\) are obtained from the intercept and slope of the linear plots at various temperature in Figure 9 and are represented in Table 1. The numerical values of Freundlich constant of \(n\) were between 0.667 and 1.678, which are a measure of the deviation from linearity of the biosorption [30]. They were greater than unity, at 30 and 35°C indicating that Azure dye is favorably adsorbed by DSSH at all these temperatures. The Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R) isotherm is more general than the Langmuir isotherm since it does not assume a homogeneous surface or constant biosorption potential [36]. The D–R isotherm model describes the biosorption nature of the sorbate on the biosorbent and it is used to calculate the mean free energy of biosorption. The characteristic biosorption curve is related to the porous structure of the biosorbent according to this model. The linearized form of (D–R) equation can be written as:

\[
\ln q_e = \ln q_{\text{m}} - \beta e^2 \tag{16}
\]
where \( q_m \) is the biosorption capacity of the biosorbent (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \( \beta \) is a constant related to the biosorption energy, \( \varepsilon \) is Polanyi potential which can be presented as:

\[
\varepsilon = R T \ln \beta + \frac{1}{C_e}
\]

where \( R \) is the universal gas constant (8.314 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) and \( T \) is the temperature (K). The values of D–R isotherm constants, \( \beta \) and \( q_m \) were obtained from the slope and intercept of the linear plot presented in Figure 10. The constant \( \beta \) gives an idea about the mean free energy of biosorption and \( E \) value can be computed using the following relationship.

\[
E = \frac{1}{(2\beta)^{1/2}}
\]

The magnitude of \( E \) value characterize the type of the biosorption as chemical ion exchange (\( E = 8–16 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) [37], or physical sorption (\( E<8 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) [38]. The mean free energy of biosorption (\( E \)) is found between 1.550 and 5.625 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at different temperatures, which implies that, the biosorption of Azure dye on DSSH may be considered as physical biosorption and \( q_m \) the theoretical saturation capacity varied between 1.979 and 16.55 mg g\(^{-1}\). According to the correlation coefficient \( r^2 \) values in Table I both the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms model fit the Azure dye biosorption data better than the D–R isotherm model at all studied temperatures.

### III.9 Thermodynamic analysis of dye removal process

The equilibrium biosorption capacity of Azure dye onto DSSH was favored at lower temperatures. The thermodynamics constants are presented in Table II. The equilibrium constant increased from 23 to 35°C then decreased as the temperature was increased to 45°C indicating a decrease in the biosorption capacity. In order to determine the temperature dependence of the dye removal process by DSSH, the changes in the thermodynamic parameters (free energy (\( \Delta G^* \)), enthalpy (\( \Delta H^* \)) and entropy (\( \Delta S^* \))) were analyzed using the Langmuir equilibrium (\( K_L \)). The following equations were used to calculate the thermodynamic parameters.

\[
\Delta G^* = -RT \ln K_L
\]

The Van Hoff plot of \( \ln K_L \) as function of \( 1^\circ T \) from 23 to 35°C is shown in Figure 11 yields a straight line with a correlation coefficient of 0.96 from which \( \Delta H^* \) and \( \Delta S^* \) were calculated from the slope and intercept respectively. The negative values of \( \Delta G^* \) indicate that the biosorption process was spontaneous in nature and confirm the affinity of the biosorbent towards the dye molecules. The negative value of \( \Delta H^* \) (−128.50 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) suggests the exothermic nature of the biosorption process. The positive entropy value of (519.78 J mol\(^{-1}\)) indicates increased randomness at the solid/liquid interface during the biosorption process while low value of \( \Delta S \) indicates that no remarkable change on entropy occurs [39-41].

### III.10 Biosorption kinetics

Biosorption kinetics depends on the sorbate–sorbent interactions and operating conditions. Several kinetic models are available to understand the behavior of the adsorbent and also to examine the controlling mechanism [28]. In this study, the biosorption equilibrium data were analyzed using three kinetic models, pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order and intraparticle diffusion model. The kinetic studies were carried out to determine the efficiency of Azure dye adsorption onto DSSH. The biosorption capacity increased with the initial dye concentrations while the PDB had a maximum at a dye concentration of 20 mg L\(^{-1}\). Figure 6. From the first pseudo-first order equation (4) [23] values of \( k_t \) calculated from the slope of the plots of \( \ln(q_e - q) \) versus time (t) are given in Table III. It was found that the correlation coefficients for the first-order model are lower than that for the pseudo-second-order model. This implies that the biosorption process does not follow first-order kinetics. For the pseudo-second-order kinetic model equation (7) [23,27], a plot of \( t^* q_t^{-1} \) versus t (Figure 12), values of \( k_2 \) and \( q_t^* \) were calculated. These parameters are summarized in Table 3. The calculated \( q_t^* \) values agree with experimental \( q_t \) values and the correlation coefficients for the pseudo-second order kinetic plots were very high. These results suggested that the biosorption system studied follows the pseudo-second-order kinetic model. Similar results have been observed with peanut hull [6]. The pseudo-second-order rate constants for the biosorption of Azure dye onto DSSH show a steady increase with DSSH dosage. The values of rate constants were found to decrease from 5.96 × 10\(^{-2}\) to 2.34 × 10\(^{-2}\) gm g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\) with an increase in biomass dosage from 11.7 to 31.00 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). The values of \( k_2 \) the second order rate constant and h the initial biosorption rate were correlated to the initial concentration by the following relationships

\[
K_2 = 0.0992 e^{-0.048C_o}
\]
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\[ H = 0.0459e^{-0.049C_c} \]

The correlation coefficient for these relationships were 0.98 and 0.93 respectively. Similar relationships were obtained for the biosorption of methylene blue onto palm kernel fibre [42]. Figure 13 shows the plot of \( q \) versus the square root of time (t\(^{1/2}\)). It was observed that all the plots have an initial curved portion, followed by a linear portion and a plateau region. This kind of multi-linearity in the shape of the intraparticle diffusion plot has also been observed in the biosorption of methylene blue by palm kernel fibre [42]. The three phases in the intraparticle diffusion plot suggest that the sorption process proceeds by surface sorption, intraparticle diffusion and a likely chemical reaction stage. The initial curved portion of the plot indicates a boundary layer effect; the second portion is due to intraparticle diffusion while the third linear portion is likely due to chemical reaction. The initial curve of the plot is due to the diffusion of dye molecule through the solution to the external surface of DSSH biomass. The linear portion of curves describes the gradual biosorption stage, where intraparticle diffusion of dye molecule on DSSH takes place and final plateau region indicates equilibrium uptake. The slope of the second portion of the plot is defined as the intraparticle diffusion parameter \( K_{ad} (mg g^{-1} min^{-1/2}) \). On the other hand, the intercept of the plot reflects the boundary layer effect. The larger the intercept, the greater the contribution of the surface sorption in the rate limiting step. The rate constants of intraparticle diffusion model \( K_{ad} \) are shown in Table 3. The values increased from 0.06 to 0.24 (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1/2}\)) as the concentration increased from 11.73 mg L\(^{-1}\) to 28.50 mg L\(^{-1}\) then decreased to 0.16 (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1/2}\)) at 31.00 mg L\(^{-1}\). Based on the results it may be concluded that intraparticle diffusion is not only the rate determining factor [28].

### III.11 FTIR analysis

In order to confirm the presence and nature of the binding sites on DSSH and their involvement during biosorption of Azure dye, FTIR studies were carried out. Lignin and cellulose are the main components of agricultural and plant materials. They also include hemicelluloses, extractives, lipids, proteins, simple sugars, starches, water, hydrocarbons, ash and many more compounds. These components have many different functional groups responsible for biosorption processes. The functional groups on the biosorbent surface are the evidence of the presence of some of these components and of responsibility for the biosorption of Azure dye. The FTIR spectra were recorded in the region from 700 to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) for both DSSH ((Fig. 14a) and dye-loaded DSSH biomass (Fig. 14b). There were significant similarities in the spectra before and after biosorption, suggesting that the components and structure of DSSH remained intact. Peak positions were noticed at 3449.2, 2897.84, 1734.68, 1596.33, 1236.13 and 1031.72 cm\(^{-1}\). The bands at 3349.32 are due to O-H and N-H stretching. While the bands at 2897.84 represents the CH\(_2\) asymmetric stretching vibration. The band at 1596.33 reflect N-H bending [43]. Band at 1236.13 correspond to N-H peptide amide II [44] and band at 1031.72 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to C-O stretching. Compared with the FTIR spectra of the dye loaded DSSH (Fig. 14b), the band intensity at 3349.32, 2897.84, 1734.68, 1596.33, 1236.13 and 1031.72 cm\(^{-1}\) shifted to 3342.24, 2938.81, 1735.19, 1589.96, 1231.85 and 1028.07 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively. Additional bands were observed at 1507, and 1415.56 cm\(^{-1}\). Corresponding to N=N groups bending and C-N stretching respectively [43]. The intensity of the bands increased after Azure dye biosorption demonstrating that there was reactions of Azure dye with hydroxyl, amine. N=N groups. The intensities of the peaks at about 2897.84 cm\(^{-1}\) on the FTIR spectrum of the dye loaded DSSH biomass, ascribed to –CH\(_2\) stretching vibrations were stronger. These findings show possibly involvement of the functional groups on the biosorbed surface in biosorption process.

### IV. CONCLUSION

Biosorption of Azure A chloride dye from aqueous solution by dried milled sunflower seed hull was studied as a function of particle seize, initial pH, initial biomass concentration and acid base treatment. Acid and base treatment affected the dye adsorption properties of the milled hull. Particles retained by > 250 µm and < 425 µm had the highest adsorption capacity. The optimum pH for azure dye adsorption was around 6.0. The values of per cent dye biosorbed (PDB) and biosorption capacity (BC) increased with time of contact, dosage of dried sunflower seed hull. The experimental data fitted well to Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms model. The negative values of \( \Delta G^o \) confirmed a favorable biosorption process at all studied temperatures. The biosorption process is also exothermic in nature. Experimental data were analyzed in terms of pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order and intraparticle diffusion kinetic models. The pseudo-second-order kinetic model was observed to provide the best correlation of the experimental data among the kinetic models studied. To sum up, sunflower seed hull, has a potential to be used as a biosorbent material for the removal of Azure A chloride dye from aqueous solutions because of a bye product of sunflower oil production, its low cost, high biosorption capacity and reasonable rapid rate of biosorption.
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Table I. Biosorption Isotherm constants at various temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp.(°C)</th>
<th>Freundlich</th>
<th>Langmuir</th>
<th>Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kt *</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>R²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unit of Kt and qmax: mg g⁻¹
** Unit of Kt: L mg⁻¹
*** Unit of β : mol² kJ⁻²
**** Unit of E : kJ mol⁻¹
Table II. Thermodynamic parameters calculated from the Langmuir constant ($K_L$) for the Biosorption of Azure dye onto DSSH at various temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>$K_L$</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\circ$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^\circ$ (J/K mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-5.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-4.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-2.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-128.50</td>
<td>519.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-8.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-7.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. Kinetic parameters for the biosorption of Azure Dye onto DSSH at various dye concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_1$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$q_1$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$k_2$ (g mg$^{-1}$min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$q_2$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$K_{id}$ (mg g$^{-1}$min$^{-0.5}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>0.008±0.0003</td>
<td>2.37±0.02</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.06±0.001</td>
<td>1.10±0.02</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.06±0.0006</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>0.015±0.0009</td>
<td>1.41±0.06</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.045±0.002</td>
<td>1.46±0.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.14±0.002</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>0.016±0.0007</td>
<td>1.24±0.06</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.043±0.004</td>
<td>1.70±0.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.13±0.002</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.65</td>
<td>0.016±0.0008</td>
<td>1.08±0.04</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.03±0.001</td>
<td>2.26±0.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.19±0.002</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.50</td>
<td>0.018±0.0009</td>
<td>1.47±0.08</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.02±0.001</td>
<td>2.70±0.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.24±0.002</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>0.008±0.0003</td>
<td>1.13±0.02</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.02±0.001</td>
<td>2.88±0.03</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.16±0.001</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Chemical structure of Azure Chloride A dye

**Biosorption of Azure Dye with Sunflower Seed Hull: Estimation of Equilibrium, Thermodynamic...**
Fig. 2: Effect of pretreatment on biosorption capacity of DSSH

Fig. 3: Effect of particle size on biosorption capacity of DSSH
Fig 4: Effect of time on biosorption of Azure Dye on DSSH

Fig 5: Effect of pH on biosorption capacity of Azure Dye on DSSH
Fig. 5: Effect of pH on % dye biosorbed (PDB) (□) and biosorption capacity (◊) of DSSH.

Fig. 6: Effect of dye concentration on percent dye biosorbed PDB (◊) and biosorption capacity (□)
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Fig. 7: Effect of biosorbent dosage on the PDB (□) and biosorption capacity (◊) at room temperature.

Fig. 8: Langmuir isotherm plots for the biosorption of Azure dye by DSSH at different temperatures. (▲) 23°C (Δ) 25°C; (○) 30°C; (◇) 35°C; (X) 40°C; (O) 45°C

Fig. 9: Freundlich isotherm plots for the biosorption of Azure dye by DSSH at different temperatures. (▲) 23°C (Δ) 25°C; (○) 30°C; (◇) 35°C; (X) 40°C; (O) 45°C
Fig. 10: D–R isotherm plots for the biosorption of Azure dye by DSSH at different temperatures.
(*) 23°C (Δ) 25°C; (◊) 30°C; (□) 35°C; (X) 40°C; (O) 45°C

Fig. 11: Plot of \[ \ln K_L \] versus \[ T^{-1} \] for estimation of thermodynamic parameters

\[
y = -15456x + 49.93 \\
R^2 = 0.963
\]
for the biosorption of Azure dye onto DSSH.

Fig. 12: Pseudo-second-order kinetic plots for the biosorption of various Azure dye concentrations (+) 11.7 mg L\(^{-1}\); (◊) 15.21 g mL\(^{-1}\); (□) 17.85 mg L\(^{-1}\); (∆) 23.05 mg L\(^{-1}\); (X) 28.5 mg L\(^{-1}\); (O) 31.75 mg L\(^{-1}\) onto DSSH.

Fig. 13: Intraparticle diffusion kinetic model plots for the biosorption of various Azure dye concentrations (*) 11.7 mg/L; (∆) 15.21 g/L; (□) 17.85 mg/L; (∆) 23.05 mg/L; (X) 28.5 mg/L; (O) 31.75 mg/L onto DSSH.