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Abstract:- In this paper, a general mining approach based on decision trees for segmenting image data is proposed. Pixel-wise image features are extracted and transformed into a database-like table that allows existing data mining algorithms to dig out useful information. Each tuple in the table has a feature descriptor consisting of a set of feature values for a given pixel along with its label. With the feature label, we can employ the decision tree to (1) discover relationship between the attributes of pixels and their target labels, (2) build a model for image processing by using the training data set. Both experiments and theoretical analysis are performed in our research. The results show that the proposed model is very efficient and effective for image mining and image segmentation. It can also be used to develop new image processing algorithms, refine existing algorithms, or act as an effective filter.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The term - knowledge discovery in image databases as \textit{image mining}. The main goal of data mining is to discover previously unknown knowledge from a huge amount of historical data that can help us initiate proper actions. “Knowledge mining from data” is another name for the term “data mining”, which is more appropriate but somewhat too long. Many people treat data mining as a synonym for another popular term, Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD). Although plenty of knowledge can be hidden in image data, very few literatures discuss KDD in this type of data. Issues of image mining have classified as four classes. They were \textit{associations}, \textit{classification}, \textit{sequential patterns}, and \textit{time series patterns}. However, only the prototype of finding associations has been proposed.

\textit{Image segmentation} is an important procedure to crop useful information from images. Knowledge can be more easily recognized when presented in the form of images. For example, geophysical and environmental data from satellite photos, Web pages containing images, medical imaging including Computed Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), and Ultrasound Imaging (UI), are sources of useful information used in our daily life. They are conformed to various standard image protocols. Although many image segmentation algorithms have been proposed, only few of them can be applied to image mining.

Mining non-standardized data and multimedia data is the trend in the future. However, most existing data mining techniques have been designed for mining numerical data and are thus not well suited for image mining. In this paper, we solve this problem by presenting a new approach based on decision trees for both of image data mining and segmentation. Decision tree induction is a well-known methodology used widely on various kinds of domain, such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, data mining, and pattern recognition. A decision tree is a flow-chart-like tree structure, where each internal node denotes a test on an attribute, each branch represents an outcome of the test, and leaf nodes represent classes or class distributions. An advantage of decision trees over other methodologies, such as neural network, is that it could provide understandable English-like rules or logic statements, for example, “If a pixel’s gray level ranges from 180 to 240 and its local variation is greater than 0.5, then it is the pixel we wanted.” This basic idea of simple and easily understandable is also the main principle of our approach.

In an image mining method that works at a higher generality level for mining image associations is proposed. In contrast to that, our proposed model works on a relative low generality level for image pixel classification. Pixel-wise image classification is an essential part of many image segmentation methods, for example, determining pixels of an edge (corner) in edge (corner) detection methods pixels of a particular object in objects segmentation based methods, pixels of abnormal tissue of medical image processing, and pixel classes in thresholding, etc.
The proposed model can be used to mine hidden relationships between an image’s pixel and its class label, and determine the interrelated features. Besides, the created model can be applied to perform pixel-wise segmentation on input images. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives a brief overview of our approach. The detailed process and the experiments are presented in Section 3. The applications of the mining result are in Section 4. In Section 5, we give theoretical analysis and discussions of the proposed model. Lastly in Section 6, we conclude our paper and discuss the future work.

II. OVERVIEW

The general processing flow of the proposed model is depicted in Fig. 1. The data we used for input is formatted as a set of raw and label image pair. Each pixel’s value of the label image is a class label with respect to the pixel in the raw image at the same position. The label of a pixel could indicate the type of a pixel, its frequency, etc.

![Fig. 1 The proposed Image segmentation model](image)

Once a set of interested raw and label image pair has been obtained, they are transformed and stored in a database-like table. Each row of the transformed table represents a given pixel, and each column of such table represents an encoded feature associated with that pixel. After obtaining such a database-like table from the images we are interested in, we can then begin to dig on it. In this paper, we have chosen the decision tree methodology for this purpose. Based on the decision tree technology, our proposed model is able to generalize rules between the label of pixels and their features. This mining process and experiments will be described in Section 3.2. The results of such process could not only help us understand more about image properties as to the real world instance, but also to segment new cases of the same domain. The mining results and their applications are discussed in Section 4, and the segmentation model will be presented in Section 5.

2.1 Image Transformation and Feature Extraction

As mentioned, the input data of the proposed model is formatted as a set of equal sized raw and label image pairs. The transformation of the input image dataset into a database like table and subsuming of the related features is described in this subsection. For the sake of clarity, various terms used for this process are defined below. In addition, we propose three kinds of input data sources, which will be discussed further in section 4.1.
Definition 1 The raw image is a $d$-dimensional light-intensity function, denoted by $R(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d)$, where the amplitude (or value) of $R$ at spatial coordinates $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d)$ gives the intensity of the raw image at that point (or pixel).

Definition 2 The label image is a $d$-dimensional light-intensity function, denoted by $L(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d)$, where the value of $L$ at spatial coordinates $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d)$ gives the class identifier of the pixel at same spatial coordinates of its corresponding raw image.

Definition 3 The database-like table $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t\}$ is a set of records, where each record $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a vector with elements $<a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k>$ being the value of attributes (or features) of $X$.

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
7 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 7 \\
5 & 7 & 9 & 7 & 5 \\
0 & 7 & 9 & 7 & 0 \\
5 & 7 & 9 & 7 & 5 \\
7 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\hspace{1cm}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\begin{center}
(a). Raw Image \hspace{1cm} (b). Label Image
\end{center}

Fig. 2 An example of the input image dataset.

In this work, only $d = 2$ is considered, i.e., images with dimensionality of 2. An example of the input image dataset is shown in Fig. 2. Each pixel value of the raw image represents the gray level of a pixel. Each pixel value of the label image represents the class label of the pixel. Both pixel values are in the same position. In this example, the raw image contains the capital English letter “I” with certain degree of blur. Thus, the inside pixels of the letter are darker and the outside pixels are brighter. If a pixel in the label image has the value “1”, the pixel in the same position of the raw image is a pixel of outside contour. It is assumed to be a pixel of interest (POI). In practice, the pixel value of the label image is not limited to the binary form but could take any kind of form. In addition, we can have as many raw and label image pairs at the same time as required for the input. In order to mine useful information from a set of raw and label images, we propose a methodology to transform them into a database-like table and allow any data mining algorithms to work on top of the table. This process is simple and straightforward as shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 4 shows a part of the results of this transformation process according to the data in Fig. 2. Each row of such result table stands for a pixel. Hence its cardinality (number of rows) equals the number of total pixels in the raw image. In addition, each column of such table represents a feature associated with the given pixels. In Fig. 4. Feature1 represents the gray level and feature2 the local variation. In order to simplify this demonstration, the local variation in this case is replaced with the average difference of a pixel to its 4-neighbors. Other pixel-wised features [17, 18] such as entropy, contrast, mean, etc. can also be encoded into the table as long as they might have affection on the collected dataset. Various encoding strategies such as normalization (e.g., adjusting the value ranging from 0 to 1) or generalization (e.g., transforming the value to high, medium, or low) can be applied when generating the desired features. Moreover, the label image was included as a column in that table. With the presence of the label feature, hidden relationships between these two kinds of images can be mined.

Procedure img2tab (image: raw, label);
begin
Set feature_generated_functions [1...n];
Set label_generated_function;
initiate table, pixel;
while pixel exists do
{Pixel scanning process}
Insert into table value: =
feature_generated1 (raw, pixel),
....
feature_generatedn (raw, pixel),
Label generated (label, pixel);
Continue to scan on the next pixel;
End while
Return table;
End

Fig. 3. Pseudo code of the image transformation algorithm.
Feature 1 | Feature2 | ... | Feature n | Label  
---|---|---|---|---
Pixel 1 | 7 | 2 | ... | Value 1,n | 1 
Pixel2 | 9 | 1.25 | ... | ... | 0 
Pixel 3 | 9 | 0 | ... | ... | 0 
... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 
Pixel 4 | 7 | 2 | ... | Value 25,n | 1 

Fig. 4. Result table of image transformation according to the input in Fig. 2.

2.2 Data Reduction

Because of the image characteristics, pixels from a neighboring area will generate similar feature vectors in the transformation process. Under some circumstances, it will cause remarkable redundant information in the result table; for example, an image with a large portion of background. Here we present some basic types of redundancy and show how they can be eliminated while converting the input image set.

**Definition 1** The feature scope of a pixel $M$ with spatial coordinates $(c_1, c_2)$ is an $n \times n$ pixel area with center at $M$, from which all the desired features of $M$ can be generated. Usually $n$ is an odd number, and the sub-image within the feature scope, i.e., pixels within spatial coordinates $(c_1 \pm n - 1/2, c_2 \pm n/2)$, is called the root space of the pixel $M$, denoted as $\{RSM\}$.

**Definition 2** Two root spaces $\{RSN\}$, $\{RSO\}$ are rotation reachable if $\{RSN\} = \{RSO\}R$, where $\{\}R$ stands for a root space after rotating the angle once by 90°, 180°, or 270°.

**Definition 3** Two root spaces $\{RSN\}$, $\{RSO\}$ are mirror reachable if $\{RSN\} = \{RSO\}F$, where $\{\}F$ stands for a root space after flipping horizontally or vertically.

Given two pixels $P$ and $Q$ at different spatial coordinates of an image $I$, they are said to be:

1. **Equivalent redundant**, if $\{RSP\}$ is equal to $\{RSQ\}$,
2. **Rotation redundant**, if $\{RSP\}$ and $\{RSQ\}$ are rotation reachable,
3. **Mirror redundant**, if $\{RSP\}$ and $\{RSQ\}$ are mirror reachable,
4. **Conflict redundant**, if $\{RSP\}$ and $\{RSQ\}$ satisfy any one of the first three conditions, but the label information of pixels $P$ and $Q$ is not equal to each other.

```
Function RR (image: raw, label; pixel: C);
Begin
    Apply quantization on $\{RSC\}$ if necessary;
    If $\{RSC\}$ can be matched in $\Xi$ do (redundant pixel) discard $\{RSC\}$ for further record generation;
    If the label information of the two matched entries are not equal do (conflict redundant pixel)
    Update the corresponding information in $\Xi$; retrieve or update previously generated record if necessary;
    Else
        (Non-redundant pixel) record all characterized redundancies of $\{RSC\}$ and the corresponding label information in $\Xi$;
End
```

Fig. 5. Pseudo code of the redundancy reduction algorithm.

Users could characterize other types of redundancy according to the image problem they wish to solve. In order to pinch more redundancies, quantization techniques can be applied on the root space. The pseudo code regarding the function of redundancy reduction is shown in Fig. 5. This function can be added to the pixel scanning process of the image transformation algorithm in Fig. 3. Fig. 6 shows the results of this reduction process according to the images in Fig. 2. The number of pixels for transformation after reduction has reduced from 25 to 9.

2.3 Mining Results and their Applications

After having obtained such a database-like table in accordance to the desired input image dataset, mining algorithms can then be used on it. In this study, we have chosen the decision tree for this purpose. An
advantage of the decision tree over other methodologies, such as neural networks, is that it can provide understandable English-like rules or logic statements. For instance, if the gray level of a given pixel ranges between 180 and 240 and its entropy is greater than 0.5, then it is a pixel of interest, POI. This basic idea of simplicity and easy understandability is also the main principle of our approach. The results of such a mining process may help us to better understand the image properties and relate to real-world instances. The results can also be used to process new images of the same domain. Basically, the result of the proposed model is a decision-tree classifier. Fig. 7 depicts a classifier derived from the data shown in Fig. 4 by using CART. A result classifier can be further straightforwardly translated into a set of human-readable if-then rules. For instance, from the three leaf nodes in Fig. 7, we can obtain the following three rules:  

− If the gray level of a given pixel is less than 8 and its local variation is less than 5, then it is a pixel of outside contour.  
− If the gray level of a given pixel is less than 8 and its local variation is greater than or equal to 5, then it is not a pixel of outside contour.  
− If the gray level of a given pixel is greater than or equal to 8, then it is not a pixel of outside contour.

Fig. 6. A decision tree for the concept is outside contour

Derived from Fig. 6, indicating whether or not a pixel is a pixel of outside contour. These rules can provide useful information about the training image. Besides, in order to obtain a higher level of appearance and meet the different information granularity requirements, the rules can be post-processed by rule induction algorithms. More prominently, they can be used to process new images from the same domain. The practical image processing capabilities include image restoration, image enhancement, image segmentation, etc. Both experimental and theoretical analyses were performed in this study to examine the proposed model. The built classifier can also be used to select important features. Features used at higher tree levels for the splitting criteria show a higher significant influence on the pixel class. The selected features can reflect the characteristics of the label image and help design or refine other image processing algorithms.
Similarly, in the English alphabet training dataset, the distorted and the original images synthesized by the letters “F” to “Z” and their two combinations (i.e., FF, FG, ..., YZ, ZZ) were used for the raw and label images, respectively. The other letters (i.e., A, B, ..., E) were used to synthesize the testing image dataset.

For image transformation, a feature scope of size 5 × 5 was used and the selected features included gray level, local variation, mean, local minimum, local maximum, and entropy. The label of a given pixel in the experiments of image restoration with enhancement was set to its gray level in the label image. We did not apply any encoding strategies mentioned in section 2.1 on the features to simplify the demonstrations. However, in practice, we can use any encoding strategy if required. In the image segmentation experiments, the label feature was transformed to 0 or 1 according to the threshold label image. In this way the segmentation nature was imitated to distinguish between “background” or “object”. After we have settled the transformation details, a database-like table can be derived. By applying a classification algorithm on the database-like table, a classifier for label prediction can be obtained. Under the same way, testing images can be transformed into a database-like table to predict the label attributes. These predicted labels can moreover be visualized in a natural form of the input data, i.e., image. As we are proposing a general image mining and image processing framework and any existing decision tree algorithms can be used to do the job, we show only the testing result to simplify the demonstration. For the other results regarding the constructed classifier or the corresponding rules, if interested, examples can be found in our previous work.

### III. IMAGE MINING AND SEGMENTATION TECHNIQUE

Besides investigating suitable frameworks for image mining, early image miners have attempted to use existing techniques to mine for image information. The techniques frequently used include object recognition, image indexing and retrieval, image classification and clustering, association rules mining, and neural network.

#### 3.1 Image segmentation Approach.

Image Segmentation is a key task in image processing aiming at partitioning a digital image into multiple objects which share some common properties. Image segmentation is a critical issue as the quality of its outcomes has a strong influence on the posterior image understanding task. Among its practical applications are medical imaging (where it is employed for tasks such as tumor location, computer guided surgery, and diagnosis); traffic control systems; object location in satellite images (roads, forests, etc.); and machine vision. Segmentation is one of the most important techniques for image processing. The purpose of segmentation is to partition an image into distinct, semantically meaningful entities by defining boundaries between features and objects in an image based on some constraint, or homogeneity predicate. Specifically, the segmentation problem is defined as sufficiently partitioning an image into non-overlapping regions.
Segmentation can therefore be formally defined as follows:

If $F$ is the set of all pixels and $P(\ )$ is a homogeneity predicate defined on groups of connected pixels, then segmentation is a partitioning of the set $F$ into a set of connected subsets or regions ($S_1, S_2, ..., S_n$) such that:

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_i = F \quad \text{with} \quad i \neq j \iff S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset.$$  

The homogeneity predicate $P(S_i) = \text{true}$ for all regions $(S_i)$ and $P(S_i \cup S_j) = \text{false}$, when $S_i$ is adjacent to $S_j$.

Homogeneity predicates are usually based on image intensity, color, texture, etc. According to Harlick and Shapiro, image segmentation can be classified into these categories: spatial clustering, split and merge schemes, and region growing schemes.

3.2 Spatial Clustering

Haralick and Shapiro present that the difference between clustering and segmentation is that in image segmentation, grouping is done in the spatial domain of the image, while clustering is done in measurement space. It is also possible for clustering to result in overlapping regions, while that is not the case for segmentation results. Clustering and spatial segmentation can be combined to form spatial clustering, which combine histogram techniques with spatial linkage techniques for better results.

3.3 Split and Merge Segmentation

Regions in an image are a group of connected pixels with similar properties. The split method begins with the entire image, and repeatedly splits each segment into quarters if the homogeneity criterion is not satisfied. These splits can sometimes divide portions of one object. The merge method joins adjacent segments of the same object. In intensity based segmentation, the boundaries that separate regions may need to be redefined due to under- or over-segmentation of regions. Split and merge segmentation can also handle this task. Under-segmented regions are corrected by adding boundaries to, or splitting, certain regions that contain parts of different objects. Over-segmented regions are corrected by eliminating false boundaries and merging adjacent regions if they belong to the same object or feature.

3.4 Region Growing

The focus of the remainder of this thesis will be with this class of segmentation. Region growing has shown to be a very useful and efficient segmentation technique in image processing. Region growing in its simplest sense is
the process of joining neighboring points into larger regions based on some condition or selection of a threshold value. Seeded region growing starts with one or more seed points and then grows the region to form a larger region satisfying some homogeneity constraint. The homogeneity of a region can be dependent upon any characteristic of the region in the image: texture, color or average intensity.

IV. THE EXPERIMENT ON SEGMENTATION TECHNIQUES

4.1 Description of Test Images

The 10 test images used in the following experiments are taken, full color anatomical images are from the thorax and abdomen regions of the Male dataset. The images are stored as 24-bit 2046x1214 pixel RGB images in RAW format. Color images can be separated into color components based on a specific model. Some of the common color models include red, green, blue (RGB), luminance, chrominance (YUV) and hue, saturation, intensity (HSI). The images are decomposed into three parts representing each of the three components (i.e. red, green, blue for the RGB color model). Our application resizes the image proportionally to an 8-bit 512x302 pixel resolution image. The resolution is reduced so that more image slices can be kept in memory. The reduction from a 24-bit image to 8-bit image results in utilizing only the red component of the original RGB image to retain the color information, since information is lost when converting color images to grey-scale images. We expect to retrieve more detailed edge information than that retrieved from performing operations on grey-scale images. The original 10 test images are shown in Figure 5.1a-j. For each of the experiments in this section we attempt to segment the liver from the images. Figure 5.2 shows one test image outlining the ideal region to be segmented from all of the images.

```
Volume Grow (W);
Let W be the set of dataset voxels and t be a threshold on Magnitude difference
S = {};
Choose seed voxel w0 with intensity |w0|.
Determine median intensity I in the window of voxels about w0.
Recursive_Region_Grow (W, S, w0, I, t).
Remove Isolated Interior Voxels; Close.
Expand Region Boundary; Dilate One Voxel.
Recursive_Volume_Grow (W, S, w0, I, t):
S = S+W.
If wi Î W adjacent to w0, wi Î S, and 
if |I| - |wi| < t then
Recursive_Region_Grow(W, S, wi, I, t).
```

Figure 4.1: Volume-Growing Algorithm

4.2 Reconstruction Tool

The overall goal of this proposed paper is to use an appropriate segmentation technique to segment 2D regions to form one 3D object. The 3D objects are rendered using the free source toolkit, ImageJ, using the stack of 2D segmentations as input into the application. In addition to 3D projections, ImageJ can display, edit, analyze, process, save and print 8-bit, 16-bit and 32-bit images. It can read many image formats including TIFF, GIF, JPEG, BMP, DICOM, FITS and "raw". It supports "stacks", a series of images that share a single window. ImageJ was designed with an open architecture that provides extensibility via Java plug-in that can be written with its built in editor and Java compiler. User-written plug-in make it possible to solve almost any image processing or analysis problem.

4.3 The Experiments using MIST

This section is dedicated to showing how the MIST algorithm evolved into its final state. The experiments conducted in this section use a seeded region growing algorithm. The region is grown using the threshold, equal to the standard deviation of each input image in the sequence. The idea is to segment the same anatomical feature from each of the sequential 2D images. To accomplish this task, the center of mass of a segmented region is used as the seed point for the next image in the sequence. These 2D segmentations are joined together using ImageJ, to create a 3D visualization of the object of interest. do not alter the size of our segmentations by a significant amount. This
ensures us that the segmentations from the modified MIST algorithm produced segmentations with consistent sizes as the segmentations resulting from the MIST algorithm in Experiment Three.

Table 5.1: Comparing Area of segmented regions from Experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Number</th>
<th>Seed Point For Experiment One</th>
<th>Area of Region after Experiment One, in pixels</th>
<th>Seed Point For Experiment Two</th>
<th>Area of Region after Experiment Two, in pixels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(198, 160)</td>
<td>11,647</td>
<td>(198, 160)</td>
<td>12,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(214, 143)</td>
<td>11,176</td>
<td>(215, 143)</td>
<td>12,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(213, 144)</td>
<td>11,381</td>
<td>(213, 145)</td>
<td>15,675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(210, 130)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(209, 129)</td>
<td>12,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(212, 145)</td>
<td>11,623</td>
<td>(209, 129)</td>
<td>12,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(212, 145)</td>
<td>11,098</td>
<td>(212, 145)</td>
<td>12,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(211, 143)</td>
<td>11,415</td>
<td>(212, 143)</td>
<td>12,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(211, 143)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(213, 143)</td>
<td>13,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(211, 142)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(213, 143)</td>
<td>12,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(211, 142)</td>
<td>10,942</td>
<td>(213, 141)</td>
<td>12,623</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.3a-j: The set of 10 sequential 2D test images used for experiments.

Table 5.2 Area of segmented regions in Experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Number</th>
<th>Area of Region after Experiment Three, in pixels</th>
<th>Area of Region after Experiment Four, in pixels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12,984</td>
<td>13,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12,315</td>
<td>13,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12,466</td>
<td>13,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12,597</td>
<td>13,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12,961</td>
<td>13,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12,383</td>
<td>13,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12,038</td>
<td>13,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12,966</td>
<td>13,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12,733</td>
<td>13,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12,623</td>
<td>13,153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. CONCLUSION

The MIST algorithm corrects the issues faced with Newman’s algorithm. Region growing guarantees the segmentation of a connected closed contour, while the use of the contour filling operation ensures that the segmented region of interest is free of gaps and hole artifacts unlike the segmentations produced by Newman et al. In this chapter we have presented results from the segmentation results produced by Newman’s algorithm as well as in each step of our proposed MIST algorithm. Experimental results show that our MIST method performs better for whole organ and tissue segmentations. Segmentation of the Visible Human Dataset offers many additions to the original goal of a three-dimensional representation of a computer generated anatomical model of the human body and to the general study of human anatomy. In this paper, we have presented a new automatic region growing algorithm called the Medical Image Segmentation Technique (MIST) that improves image segmentation of 2D contours for the purpose of reconstructing 3D anatomical structures. It is our first attempt to address the issue of segmenting organs, tissue and other structures from color anatomical images. Seeded region growing offers several advantages over conventional segmentation techniques. Unlike gradient and Laplacian based edge detection methods, a region found by region growing is guaranteed to be connected and consist of a one pixel thick boundary, since we only add pixels to the exterior of our region. MIST addresses the adjacency problem, therefore the segmented region will never contain too much of adjacent tissues, as long as the parameters are defined correctly. In addition, our technique guarantees that he seed is contained in the region by addressing what we call the centroid problem, unlike the method presented in [10]. We have compared the results from MIST with papers attempting to achieve the same goals. In our experiments, our method proved to perform better and produce better 3D visualizations.
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